

**A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF VALUE  
AT RISK METHODOLOGIES**

**PILAR ABAD ROMERO  
SONIA BENITO MUELA  
CARMEN LÓPEZ MARTÍN**

**FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS**  
DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO  
Nº 711/2013

De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.

Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

# A Comprehensive Review of Value at Risk Methodologies\*

**Pilar Abad Romero\***  
**Sonia Benito Muela<sup>°</sup>**  
**Carmen López Martín**

## **Abstract**

In this article, we review the full range of methodologies developed to estimate the Value at Risk from standard models to recently proposed methodologies. For these methodologies, we present their relative strengths and weaknesses from theoretical and practical perspectives. From a practical perspective, the empirical literature shows that the approaches based on Extreme Value Theory and Filtered Historical Simulation are the best methods to estimate VaR. Additionally, the Parametric method under the skewed and fat-tail distributions provides results that are promising, especially when the assumption that the standardised returns are independent and identically distributed is abandoned and time variations are considered in the conditional high-order moments.

**Keywords:** Value-at risk, manage risk, EVT, parametric and non-parametric methods,

**JEL Classification:** G15, G24

---

\* Universidad Rey Juan Carlos and IREA-RFA. Paseo Artilleros s/n. 28032, Madrid (Spain) Phone: 00 34 91 4887853 E-mail: pilar.abad@urjc.es

<sup>°</sup> Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED) Senda del Rey 11 28223, Madrid, (Spain) Phone: 00 34 91 3988457 E-mail: soniabm@cee.uned.es

\* This work has been funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (ECO2009-10398/ECON and ECO2011-23959).

## 1. Introduction

Basel I, also called the Basel Accord, is the accord that was published in 1988 in Basel (Switzerland) by the Basel Committee on Bank Supervision (BCBS), involving the chairmen of the central banks of Germany, Belgium, Canada, France, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States of America. This accord provides recommendations on banking regulations with regard to capital risk, market risk and operational risk. The purpose of this accord is to ensure that the financial institutions have enough capital on account to meet obligations and absorb unexpected losses.

For a financial institution, measuring the risk it faces is an essential task. In the particular case of market risk, a possible method of measurement is the evaluation of losses likely to be incurred when the price of the portfolio's assets declines. This measurement is the purpose of the Value at Risk (VaR) methodology. The VaR of a portfolio tells us the maximum amount that an investor may lose over a given time horizon and with a given probability. Because the BCBS at the Bank for International Settlements required a financial institution to meet the capital requirements on the base VaR estimates, permitting them to use internal models to calculate their VaRs, this methodology has become a basic market risk management tool of financial institutions<sup>1</sup>. As a consequence, it is not surprising that the last decade has witnessed the growth of academic literature comparing alternative modelling approaches and proposing new models for VaR estimations, attempting to improve upon the existing ones.

Although the VaR concept is very simple to calculate, it is not easy. The methodologies initially developed to calculate the VaR of a portfolio are (i) the variance-covariance approach, also called the Parametric method, (ii) Historical Simulation (Non-parametric method) and (iii) Monte Carlo simulation, which is a Semi-parametric method. As is well known, all these methodologies, usually called standard models, have numerous shortcomings, which has led to the development of new proposals.

In the framework of Parametric approaches, the first model proposed to estimate VaR is Riskmetrics, which was proposed by J.P. Morgan (1996). The major drawback of this model is related to the normal distribution assumption for the financial returns. The empirical evidence shows that the financial returns do not follow a normal distribution. The second drawback of Riskmetrics has to do with the model used to estimate the conditional volatility of the financial return. The third drawback of the traditional parametric approach involves the assumption that

---

<sup>1</sup> When the Basel I Accord was concluded in 1988, no capital requirement was defined for the risk market. However, regulators soon recognised the risk to a banking system if insufficient capital was held to absorb the large sudden losses from huge exposures in capital markets. During the mid-90s, proposals were tabled for an amendment to the 1988 accord, requiring additional capital over and above the minimum required for credit risk. Finally, a market risk capital adequacy framework was adopted in 1995 for implementation in 1998. The 1995 Basel I Accord amendment provided a menu of approaches for determining the market risk capital requirements.

the return is independent and identically distributed (iid). There is substantial empirical evidence that the distribution of standardised financial returns is not iid (see Hansen (1994), Harvey and Siddique (1999), Jondeau and Rockinger (2003), Bali and Weinbaum (2007) and Brooks et al. (2005)).

Taking these drawbacks into account, the research in the framework of the Parametric method has moved in several directions. The first one involves searching for a more sophisticated volatility model that captures the characteristics observed in the volatility of the financial returns. The second line of research involves searching for other density functions that capture the skew and kurtosis of the financial returns. Finally, the third line of research considers that the higher-order conditional moments are time-varying.

In the context of the Non-parametric method, several Non-parametric density estimation methods have been implemented, with improvement on the results obtained by Historical Simulation. In the framework of the Semi-parametric method, new approaches have been proposed: (i) the Filtered Historical Simulation, proposed by Baronesi-Adesi et al. (1999); (ii) the CaViaR method, proposed by Engle and Manganelli (2004) and (iii) the conditional and unconditional approaches based on Extreme Value Theory. These two last methods, joint to the parametric approach under the skewed distributions and considering that higher-order conditional moments are time-varying, provide good estimations of the VaR.

In this article, we review the full range of methodologies developed to estimate the VaR from the standard models to recently proposed methodologies. For these methodologies, we present their relative strengths and weaknesses from theoretical and practical perspectives. The aim of this paper is to provide to a researcher in financial risk all the models and proposed developments to estimate the VaR, bringing such a researcher to the frontiers of knowledge in this field.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In the next section, we review a full range of methodologies developed to estimate the VaR. In subsection 2.1, we present a non-parametric approach. Parametric approaches are offered in subsection 2.2, and semi-parametric approaches are presented in subsection 2.3. In section 3, we present the empirical results obtained by the papers dedicated to comparing VaR methodologies. The last section includes the main conclusions.

## **2. Value at Risk Methods**

The VaR of a portfolio is defined as the maximum potential loss that a portfolio can generate over a particular time horizon within a defined confidence level. The VaR is thus a conditional quantile of the asset return loss distribution.

Let  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n$  be identically distributed independent random variables representing the financial returns. Use  $F(r)$  to denote the cumulative distribution function,  $F(r) = \Pr(r_i < r | \Omega_{t-1})$ , conditionally on the information set  $\Omega_{t-1}$  that is available at time  $t-1$ . Assume that  $\{r_t\}$  follows the stochastic process:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu + \varepsilon_t \\ \varepsilon_t &= z_t \sigma_t \quad z_t \square iid(0,1) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_t^2 = E(z_t^2 | \Omega_{t-1})$  and  $z_t$  has the conditional distribution function  $G(z)$ ,  $G(z) = \Pr(z_t < z | \Omega_{t-1})$ . The VaR with a given probability  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , denoted by  $VaR(\alpha)$ , is defined as the  $\alpha$  quantile of the probability distribution of financial returns:

$$F(VaR(\alpha)) = \Pr(r_t < VaR(\alpha)) = \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad VaR(\alpha) = \inf \{v | P(r_t \leq v) = \alpha\}$$

This quantile can be estimated in two different ways: (1) inverting the distribution function of financial returns,  $F(r)$ , and (2) inverting the distribution function of innovations,  $G(z)$ . With regard to the latter, it is also necessary to estimate  $\sigma_t^2$ .

$$VaR(\alpha) = F^{-1}(\alpha) = \mu + \sigma_t G^{-1}(\alpha) \quad (2)$$

Hence, a VaR model involves the specifications of  $F(r)$  or  $G(z)$ . The estimation of those functions can be performed using the following methods: (1) Non-parametric methods; (2) Parametric methods; and (3) Semi-parametric methods.

## 2.1 Non-parametric methods

The Non-parametric approaches seek to estimate the VaR of a portfolio without making strong assumptions about the distribution of the returns portfolio. The essence of these approaches is to let the data speak for themselves as much as possible and to use the recent empirical distribution of the returns –not some assumed theoretical distribution - to estimate the VaR.

All Non-parametric approaches are based on the underlying assumption that the near future will be sufficiently similar to the recent past such that we can use the data from the recent past to forecast the risk in the near future.

The Non-parametric approaches include (a) Historical Simulation and (b) Non-parametric density estimation methods.

### 2.1.1. Historical Simulation

Historical Simulation is the most popular Non-parametric approach. This method uses the empirical distribution of financial returns as an approximation for  $F(r)$ , so that in this

method,  $\text{VaR}(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$  quantile of the empirical distribution. To calculate the empirical distribution of financial returns, different sizes of samples can be considered.

The advantages and disadvantages of the Historical Simulation have been well documented by Down (2002). The two main advantages of the Historical Simulation approach are the following: (1) the method is very easy to implement, and (2) because this approach does not depend on parametric assumptions about the distribution of the return portfolio, they can accommodate wide tails, skewness and any other non-normal features observed in financial observations. The biggest potential weakness of this approach is that its results are completely dependent on the data set. If our data period was unusually quiet, Historical Simulation will often underestimate the risk. However, if our data period was unusually volatile, Historical Simulation will often overestimate the risk. In addition, Historical Simulation approaches are sometimes slow to reflect major events, such as the increases in risk associated with sudden market turbulence.

The first papers involving the comparison of VaR methodologies, such as those by Beder (1995), Mahoney (1995), Hendricks (1996), Beder (1996), Pritsker (1997), Smithson and Minton (1997), Jackson et al. (1998), Raaji and Raunig (1998), and Blanco and Blomstrom (1999), reported that the Historical Simulation performed at least as well as the methodologies developed in the early years, the Parametric approach and the Monte Carlo simulation. The main conclusion of these papers is that among the methodologies developed initially, no approach appeared to be better than the rest.

However, more recently, papers such as those by Abad and Benito (2012), Ashley and Randall (2009), Trenca (2009), Angelidis et al. (2007), Alonso and Arcos (2005), Gento (2001), Danielson and Vries (2000) have reported that the Historical Simulation approach produces inaccurate VaR estimates. By comparison with other recently developed methodologies such as the Historical Simulation Filtered, Conditional Extreme Value Theory, and Parametric approaches, as we become further separated from normality and consider volatility models more sophisticated than Riskmetrics, Historical Simulation provides a very poor VaR estimate.

### **2.1.2. Non-parametric density estimation methods**

Unfortunately, the Historical Simulation approach does not best utilise the information we have. It also has the practical drawback that it only allows us to estimate VaR at discrete confidence intervals determined by the size of our data set<sup>2</sup>. The solution to this problem is to use the theory of Non-parametric density estimation. The idea behind Non-parametric density is

---

<sup>2</sup> Thus, if we have, e.g., 100 observations, it allows us to estimate VaR at the 95% confidence level but not the VaR at the 95.1% confidence level. The VaR at the 95% confidence level is given by the sixth largest loss, but the VaR at the 95.1% confidence level is a problem because there is no loss observation to accompany it.

to treat our data set as if it were drawn from some unspecified or unknown empirical distribution function. One simple way to approach this problem is to draw straight lines connecting the mid-points at the top of each histogram bar. Once we draw these lines, we can ignore the histogram bars and treat the area under the lines as if it were a probability density function (*pdf*) to estimate the VaR at any confidence level. However, we could draw smooth curves that overlap well, and so on. This approach conforms exactly to the theory of non-parametric density estimation, which leads to important decisions about the width of bins and where bins should be centred. These decisions can therefore make a difference in our results (for a discussion, see Butler and Schachter (1998) or Rudemo (1982)).

A kernel density estimator (Silverman (1986), Sheather and Marron (1990)) is a method for generalising a histogram constructed with the sample data. Where a histogram results in a density that is piecewise constant, a kernel estimator results in a smooth density. Smoothing the data can be performed with any continuous shape spread around each data point. As the sample size grows, the net sum of all the smoothed points approaches the true pdf, whatever that may be, irrespective of the method used to smooth the data.

The smoothing is accomplished by spreading each data point with a kernel, usually a pdf centred on the data point, and a parameter called the bandwidth. A common choice of bandwidth is that proposed by Silverman (1986). There are many kernels or curves to spread the influence of each point, such as the Gaussian kernel density estimator, the Epanechnikov kernel, the biweight kernel, an isosceles triangular kernel and an asymmetric triangular kernel. From the kernel, we can calculate the percentile or estimate of the VaR.

In the study by Chen and Tang (2005), we can find an assessment of the evolution of the statistical properties of the kernel. Huang (2009) uses the non-parametric kernel estimator technique on the tail distributions, in addition to undertaking a comparison among different methodologies to estimate the VaR. The empirical results show the accomplishment of a reliable estimation of VaR with kernel estimators, outperforming other existing methods. Brownlees and Gallo (2010) obtain similar results.

Kernel-based approaches can also be developed further in various ways. For example, Gouriéroux and Jasiak (2001) use kernel-based pseudo-density methods to provide local approximations of an unknown density function and thus estimate the conditional VaR; Scaillet (2004) uses a broad class of dependency structures known as strong mixing to obtain kernel estimators for conditional EVT. These approaches are similar in spirit to Engle and Manganelli's conditional autoregressive VaR (CaViaR) approach.

## **2.2. Parametric method**

Parametric approaches estimate the risk by fitting probability curves to the data and then inferring the VaR from the fitted curve. In the framework of Parametric approaches, the



first model to estimate VaR was Riskmetrics, proposed by J.P. Morgan (1996). This model assumes that the return portfolio and/or the innovations of the return follow a normal distribution. Under this assumption, the VaR of a portfolio at an  $\alpha\%$  confidence level is calculated as  $VaR(\alpha) = \mu + \sigma_t G^{-1}(\alpha)$ , where  $G^{-1}(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$  quantile of the standard normal distribution and  $\sigma_t$  is the conditional standard deviation of the return portfolio. To estimate  $\sigma_t$ , J.P. Morgan uses an Exponential Weight Moving Average Model (EWMA). The expression of this model is as follows:

$$\sigma_t^2 = (1-\lambda) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda^j (\varepsilon_{t-j})^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda = 0.94$  and the window size ( $N$ ) is 74 days for daily data.

The major drawbacks of Riskmetrics are related to the normal distribution assumption for financial returns and/or innovations. The empirical evidence shows that financial returns do not follow a normal distribution. The skewness coefficient is in most cases negative and statistically significant, implying that the distribution of the financial return is skewed to the left. This result is not in accordance with the properties of a normal distribution, which is symmetric. By the other hand, the empirical distribution of the financial return has been documented to exhibit a significantly excessive kurtosis (fat tails and peakness) (see Bollerslev (1987); Engle and González-Rivera (1991); Aït-Sahalia and Lo (1998); Theodossiou and Trigeorgis (2003); Poon and Granger (2003); Bali and Theodossiou (2007)). Consequently, the size of the actual losses is much higher than that predicted by a normal distribution.

The second drawback of Riskmetrics involves the model used to estimate the conditional volatility of the financial return. The EWMA model captures some characteristics of volatility, such as varying volatility and cluster volatility, but does not take into account the asymmetry and the leverage effect (see Black (1976), French et al. (1987) and Pagan and Schwert (1990)). In addition, this model is technically inferior to the family GARCH models to model the persistence of volatility.

The third drawback of the traditional Parametric approach involves the iid return assumption. There is substantial empirical evidence that the standardised distribution of financial returns is not iid (see Hansen (1994), Harvey and Siddique (1999), Jondeau and Rockinger (2003), Bali and Weinbaum (2007) and Brooks et al. (2005)).

Taking these drawbacks into account, the research in the framework of the Parametric method has moved in several directions. The first attempts searched for a more sophisticated volatility model that captures the characteristics observed in the volatility of financial returns. In this framework, three families of volatility models have been considered: (i) the GARCH family, (ii) Stochastic Volatility and (iii) Realised volatility. The second line of research investigated other density functions that capture the skew and kurtosis of financial returns. In

this context, several density functions have been considered: (i) the Skewness *t*-Student Distribution of Hansen (1994), (ii) Beta Generalised Exponential of the Second Kind (EGB2) of McDonal and Xu (1995), (iii) Error Generalised Distribution (GED) of Nelson (1991), (iv) Skewness Error Generalised Distribution (SGED) of Theodossiou (2001), (v) *t*-Generalised Distribution of Mcdonald and Newey (1988), (vi) Skewness Generalised-*t* Distribution (SGT) of Theodossiou (1998), (vii) Inverse Hyperbolic Sign (IHS) of Johnson (1949) and (viii) a mix of normal functions. Finally, the third line of research considered that the higher-order conditional moments are time-varying.

In the framework of the Parametric method, following a different approach, McAleer et al. (2010a) proposed a risk management strategy that consists of choosing from among different combinations of alternative risk models to forecast the VaR. As the authors remark, given that a combination of forecast models is also a forecast model, this model is a novel method for estimating the VaR. Following such an approach, McAleer et al. (2010b) suggest using a combination of VaR forecasts to obtain a crisis robust risk management strategy. McAleer et al. (2011) present cross-country evidence to support the claim that the median point forecast of VaR is generally robust to a Global Financial Crisis.

### 2.2.1. Volatility models

The volatility models proposed in the literature to capture the characteristics of financial returns can be divided into three groups: (i) the GARCH family, (ii) the stochastic volatility models and (iii) realised volatility-based models. A brief summary of some of the most commonly used models applied to the VAR calculation are submitted in coming notes and in references related to studies in which they have been used.

#### (i) GARCH family

Engle (1982) proposed the Autoregressive Conditional Heterokedasticity (ARCH), which featured a variance that does not remain fixed but rather varies throughout a period. Bollerslev (1986) further extended the model by inserting the ARCH generalised model (GARCH). This model specifies and estimates two equations; the first depicts the evolution of the returns in accordance with the past returns, whereas the second patterns the evolving volatility of the returns. The most generalised formulation for the GARCH models is the GARCH (p,q) model represented by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_t + \varepsilon_t \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_o + \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i \varepsilon_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i \sigma_{t-i}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

One of the limitations facing the GARCH model is the need to impose restrictions on the parameters to guarantee that the variance is positive. In the GARCH(1,1) model, the parameters must comply with the restrictions  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1, \beta > 0$  and  $\alpha_1 + \beta < 1$ .

In most of the empirical applications conducted on financial series, the addition of the value  $\alpha_1 + \beta$  is observed to be very close to the unit. By forcing the condition that the addition is equal to the unit, the integrated GARCH model (IGARCH) of Engle and Bollerslev (1986)<sup>3</sup> becomes:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + (1 - \beta)\varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta\sigma_{t-1}^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha_0, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \beta < 1$$

The conditional variance properties of the IGARCH model are not very attractive from the empirical point of view due to the very slow motion phasing out the impact of the shocks upon the conditional variance (volatility persistence). Nevertheless, the impacts that fade away have an exponential behaviour, which is how the fractional integrated GARCH model (FIGARCH) proposed by Baillie, Bollerslev and Mikkelsen (1996) behaves, with the simplest specification, FIGARCH (1,d,0), being:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \beta_1} + \left(1 - \frac{(1-L)^d}{(1 - \beta_1 L)}\right) r_t^2. \quad (6)$$

If the parameters comply with the setting conditions  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta_1 < d \leq 1$ , the conditional variance of the model is most likely positive for all  $t$  cases. With this model, there is a likelihood that the  $r_t^2$  effect upon  $\sigma_{t+k}^2$  will trigger a decline over the hyperbolic rate while  $k$  surges.

An important innovation has focused on the power term by which the data are to be transformed. The presence of volatility clustering is by no means unique to the square returns of an asset price, as generally, the absolute changes in an asset's price will also exhibit volatility clustering. Higgins and Bera (1992) have introduced the Power GARCH model (PGARCH), wherein the power term by which the data are transformed is estimated within the model rather than being specified a priori. The expression of the PGARCH (1, 1) is as follows:

$$\sigma_t^\delta = \omega + \alpha \left| \varepsilon_{t-1} \right|^\delta + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^\delta \quad (7)$$

$$\omega > 0, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } \delta > 0$$

Here,  $\delta$  is equivalent to the Box-Cox transformation of  $\sigma_t$ .

The Taylor-Schwert (TS-GARCH) model proposed by Taylor (1986) and Schwert (1989) parameterises the conditional standard deviation as a distributed lag of the absolute

---

<sup>3</sup> The EWMA model is equivalent to the IGARCH model with the intercept  $\alpha_0$  being restricted to being zero, the autoregressive parameter  $\beta$  being set at a pre-specific value  $\lambda$ , and the coefficient of  $\varepsilon_{t-1}^2$  being equal to  $1 - \lambda$ .

innovations and the lagged conditional standard deviation. The specification of the model TS-GARCH(1,1) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 |\varepsilon_{t-1}| + \beta \sigma_{t-1} \\ \alpha_0 > 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

The previously mentioned models do not completely reflect the nature posed by the volatility of the financial times series because, although they accurately characterise the volatility clustering properties, they do not take into account the asymmetric performance of the yields before positive or negative shocks (leverage effect) (see Black (1976), French, Schwert and Stambaugh, (1987) and Pagan and Schwert (1990)).

Because previous models depend on the square or absolute value of the errors, the effect caused by positive innovations is the same as the effect produced by negative innovations of equal absolute value. Nonetheless, reality shows that in financial time series, the existence of the leverage effect is observed, which means that the volatility increases at a higher rate when yields are negative compared with when they are positive. To establish a pattern framework for the leverage effect, new non-linear GARCH formulations should be searched. In the next paragraph, there is a reference to the most commonly used formula in financial practice.

The Exponential GARCH model (EGARCH) of Nelson (1991) is formulated based on the conditional variance logarithm. The expression of EGARCH (1,1) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\sigma_t^2) &= \alpha_0 + \gamma \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}} \right) + \alpha_1 \left( \left| \frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}} \right| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) + \beta \log(\sigma_{t-1}^2) \\ \alpha_1 + \beta &< 1\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Because this model is formulated upon the logarithm of the conditional variance, when compared with the GARCH (1,1) model, it does not require the specification of positiveness conditions over the estimated parameters.

In contrast, the Threshold GARCH model (GJR-GARCH) of Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkle (1993) is, similar to the GARCH model, formulated based on the variance but assumes that the  $\varepsilon_{t-1}^2$  parameter depends on the sign of the shock. Thus, the GJR-GARCH(1,1) model has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 - \gamma \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 S_{t-1}^- + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ S_{t-1}^- &= 1 \text{ for } \varepsilon_{t-1} < 0 \text{ and } S_{t-1}^- = 0 \text{ otherwise} \\ \alpha_0 &\geq 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

The Threshold GARCH (TGARCH) model of Zakoïan (1994) extends TS-GARCH to allow for the conditional standard deviation to depend on the sign of the lagged innovations. The expression of TGARCH(1,1) is:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 |\varepsilon_{t-1}| + \gamma \varepsilon_{t-1} S_{t-1}^- + \beta \sigma_{t-1} \\ S_{t-1}^- &= 1 \text{ for } \varepsilon_{t-1} < 0 \text{ and } S_{t-1}^- = 0 \text{ otherwise} \\ \alpha_0 &\geq 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

The Asymmetric GARCH model (AGARCH) of Engle (1990) patterns the asymmetric effects of the shocks starting from the GARCH model. The AGARCH(1,1) model is represented by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \alpha_0 &\geq 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

The model may be alternatively represented as follows:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\varepsilon_{t-1} + \gamma)^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \quad (13)$$

where  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  readily ensure that the conditional variance is almost surely positive.

The Asymmetric Power GARCH model (APGARCH) of Ding, Granger and Engle (1993) is an extension of the PGARCH model. The APARCH(1,1) model can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_t^\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (|\varepsilon_{t-1}| + \gamma \varepsilon_{t-1})^\delta + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^\delta \quad (14)$$

where coefficients must conform with the following conditions:

$$\alpha_0 > 0, \alpha_1 \geq 0, \beta > 0, \delta > 0 \text{ and } -1 < \gamma < 1$$

The Quadratic GARCH model (QGARCH) of Sentana (1995) is another method to model the asymmetric effects of shocks on volatility. The QGARCH model is specified as:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\varepsilon_{t-1} + \gamma \sigma_{t-1})^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \alpha_0 &> 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

The Square-Root GARCH model (SQR-GARCH) of Heston and Nandi (2000) also models the asymmetric effects. The SQR-GARCH(1,1) has the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\gamma \sigma_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-1} / \sigma_{t-1})^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \alpha_0 &> 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

Finally, Engle and Ng (1993) proposed two models. The first is the VGARCH, with the following expression in the simplest case:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\gamma + \sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \varepsilon_{t-1})^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \alpha_0 &> 0, 0 < \beta < 1 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha_1 < 1\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

The second is the Nonlinear Asymmetric GARCH (NAGARCH), with the expression of NAGARCH(1,1) being:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\varepsilon_{t-1} + \gamma \sigma_{t-1})^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \alpha_0 &> 0, \alpha_1, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \beta < 1\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

In all these models (EGARCH, GJR-GARCH, TGARCH, AGARCH, APARCH, QGARCH, VGARCH, SQR-GARCH, NGARCH),  $\gamma$  is the leverage parameter. A negative

value of  $\gamma$  means that past negative shocks have a deeper impact on current conditional volatility than past positive shocks. Thus, we expect the parameter to be negative ( $\gamma < 0$ ). The persistence of volatility is captured by the  $\beta$  parameter. In the particular case of the EGARCH model, the volatility of the return also depends on the size of the innovations. If  $\alpha_1$  is positive, the innovations superior to the mean have a deeper impact on current volatility than those inferior to the mean.

Finally, it must be pointed out that there are models that collect the leverage effect and the non-persistence memory effect. For example, Bollerslev and Mikkelsen (1996) insert the FIEGARCH model, which aims to account for both the leveraging effect (EGARCH) and the long memory (FIGARCH) effect. The simplest expression of this family of models is the FIEGARCH (1,d,0):

$$(1-\phi L)(1-L)^d \log(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + \gamma \left( \frac{r_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}} \right) + \alpha_1 \left( \left| \frac{r_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}} \right| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \right) \quad (19)$$

Even though there is a general consensus about the lack of normality shown by the yields, some authors have addressed the possibility of this issue as being due to the existence of structural changes. Therefore, the notion of volatility persistence could be matched with the high probability of a state of low (high) volatility, which may be followed by another state with low (high) volatility. One way to represent the changes in volatility persistence is to combine a Markov Regime-Switching model (Hamilton (1989)) with the GARCH-type models to achieve different values for the volatility persistence, depending on the state or regime.

Gray (1996) generalised the Hamilton model to model the regime changes within the GARCH model. Thus, the Markov switching GARCH (MS-GARCH (1,1)) is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_{s_t} + \varepsilon_t = \mu_{s_t} + \sigma_t z_t \quad \text{with } z_t \text{ iid } N(0,1) \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \omega_{s_t} + \alpha_{s_t} \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_{s_t} \sigma_{t-1}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $s_t$  is a non-observable random variable that can take values  $1, 2, \dots, K$ , which can be described by a Markov chain:

$$Prob(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i, s_{t-2} = K) = Prob(s_t = j | s_{t-1} = i) = p_{ij} \quad (21)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, K$ . The  $s_t$  variables can be regarded as the state or regime of the process at the  $t$  moment. As occurs in the classic GARCH model, certain restrictions must be imposed on the coefficients to ensure that the variance will be eventually positive, and therefore,  $\omega_{s_t} > 0$ ,  $\alpha_{s_t} \geq 0$ , and  $\beta_{s_t} \geq 0$ .

Ané and Ureche-Rangau (2006) generalised the Asymmetric Power GARCH model of Ding, Granger and Engle (1993) by its definition within the change of the regime context. They introduced the Regime-Switching Asymmetric POWER GARCH model (RS-APARCH), assuming that each regime volatility follows the dynamics of APGARCH (1,1):

$$\sigma_{s_t}^{\delta_{s_t}} = \omega_{s_t} + \alpha_{s_t} \left( |\varepsilon_{t-1}| + \gamma_{s_t,1} \varepsilon_{t-1} \right)^{\delta_{s_t}} + \beta_{s_t,2} \sigma_{t-1}^{\delta_{s_t}} \quad (22)$$

where the coefficients must abide with the following conditions:

$$\omega_{s_t} > 0, \alpha_{s_t} \geq 0, \beta_{s_t} > 0, \delta > 0 \text{ and } -1 < \gamma_{s_t,1} < 1$$

with  $\gamma_{s_t,1}$  being the parameter responsible for the asymmetric answer.

The empirical applications found in the literature involving the Parametric models for VaR estimation are very extensive. An example can be taken from the research of Bali and Theodossiou (2007), which utilises 10 different GARCH specifications, stating that the best results are provided by the TS-GARCH and EGARCH models. González-Rivera et al. (2004) undertook a comparison between eleven different GARCH models. In addition to the comparison of results with other models (EWMA, SV, MA(20)), and although there is no evidence of an overpowering model, they concluded that asymmetric models produce better outcomes. The comparison analysis performed by Angelidis et al. (2007) also does not reach a conclusive result concerning any of the models. Others applications of the family of GARCH models can be found in the following studies: Haas et al. (2004), Li and Lin (2004), Carvalho et al. (2006), Sajjad et al. (2008), Chen, So and Lin (2009), Abad and Benito (2012) and Chen et al. (2011).

## (ii) Stochastic Volatility Models

An alternative path to the GARCH models to represent the temporal changes over volatility is through the stochastic volatility (SV) models proposed by Taylor (1982, 1986). In these models, the volatility in  $t$  does not depend on the past observations of the series but rather on a non-observable variable, which usually is an autoregressive stochastic process. To ensure the positiveness of the variance, the volatility equation is defined according to the variance of the logarithm as in the EGARCH model.

The stochastic volatility model proposed by Taylor (1982) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_t + \sqrt{h_t} z_t & z_t &\sim N(0,1) \\ \log h_{t+1} &= \alpha + \phi \log h_t + \eta_t & \eta_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\mu_t$  represents the conditional mean of the financial return,  $h_t$  represents the conditional variance, and  $z_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are stochastic white-noise processes.

The basic properties of the model can be found in Taylor (1986, 1994), Shephard (1996) and Ghysels et al. (1996). The main difference between the GARCH and SV models is the estimation method. In the GARCH model, the parameters can be estimated in a direct way by using maximum likelihood techniques. In contrast, in the SV model, the distribution of  $z_t | \Omega_{t-1}$  cannot be explicitly featured because  $h_t$  is not observable and cannot be recovered from the historical temporal series. As a result, the maximum likelihood techniques cannot be used to

estimate the SV model parameters. Alternative processes have been proposed for its estimation: Taylor (1992, 1994) used the method of moments; Harvey and Shephard (1996) and Harvey et al. (1994) used a quasi-maximum likelihood approach; So et al. (1997a, 1997b) and Shephard (1994) used the expectation-maximisation (EM) algorithm and simulated EM algorithms, respectively; Danielson (1994) obtained simulated maximum likelihood estimates by the Monte Carlo method to evaluate the likelihood function; and Shephard (1993) and Jacquier et al. (1994) proposed a Bayesian approach. Regardless, there is no consensus as to which estimation method is the most accurate for the SV model.

As in the GARCH family, for the stochastic volatility models, alternative and more complex models have been developed to allow for the pattern of both the large memory and the leverage effect. In upcoming notes, some of these models are mentioned.

For the purpose of covering the leverage effect of volatility, Harvey and Shephard (1996) proposed the autoregressive asymmetric stochastic first-order volatility model, A-ARSV(1) using the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_t + \sqrt{h_t} z_t \\ \log h_{t+1} &= \alpha + \phi \log h_t + \sigma_\eta \eta_t \quad |\phi| < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $z_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are, respectively, random noises of the mean equation and the volatility logarithm equation, which comply with the following binomial and bi-variant distribution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_t \\ \eta_t \end{pmatrix} \sim iid \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta \sigma_\eta \\ \delta \sigma_\eta & \sigma_\eta^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (25)$$

where  $\delta$  denotes the correlation among the noises of the log-volatility equation and the mean equation and, therefore, the relationship between the variable  $r_t$  and the volatility variations one period ahead,  $h_{t+1}$ . Thus, if  $\delta < 0$ , negative values of  $z_t$  in the  $t$  period will tend to lead to positive values in  $\eta$ , which would entail a greater volatility in the  $t+1$  period.

Another model that captures the leverage effect is the Threshold Stochastic Volatility model (THSV) proposed by So, Li and Lam (2002). A set of Bernoulli random variables,  $s_t$ , is defined by:

$$s_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r_{t-1} < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } r_{t-1} \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

The THSV(1,1) model is then given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_t + \sqrt{h_t} z_t \quad z_t \sim N(0,1) \\ \log h_{t+1} &= \alpha_{s_{t+1}} + \phi_{s_{t+1}} \log h_t + \eta_t \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

as in the original formulation,  $z_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are stochastically independent. At time  $t-1$ , when there is an unexpected drop in price due to the presence of bad news,  $r_t \leq 0$  and  $s_t = 0$ . In contrast, if



there is good news at time  $t-1$ , then  $r_t > 0$  and  $s_t = 1$ . Therefore, the value of  $s_t$  is determined by the sign of  $r_{t-1}$ . In the THSV model, the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\phi$  switch between the two regimes corresponding to the rise and fall in asset prices.

With regards to the long memory pattern, Harvey (1998) and Breidt et al. (1998) independently proposed the stochastic volatility model with long memory, where the volatility logarithm follows an ARFIMA (1,d,0) process. The model, denoted LMSV(1,d,0), is represented by:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu_t + z_t \sqrt{h_t} \\ (1 - \phi L)(1 - L)^d \log(h_t) &= \alpha + \sigma_\eta \eta_t \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Some applications of the SV model to estimate the VaR can be found in Fleming and Kirby (2003), Lehar et al. (2002), Chen et al. (2011) and González-Rivera et al. (2004). In the context of the Parametric method, Fleming and Kirby (2003) compare the GARCH models with the stochastic autoregressive volatility models (SARV). They find that the GARCH model and SARV models perform comparably in a test of the conditional VaR estimate. Lehar et al. (2002) compared option pricing models using two families of volatility models: the GARCH models and SV models. The comparison of these models was conducted in terms of their ability to forecast the VaR of derivative assets. They found that there were no differences between the volatility models employed. González-Rivera et al. (2004) presented a comparison among fifteen different volatility models (GARCH and SV) and found that the SV model had the best performance in estimating the VaR. Chen et al. (2011) compared the performance of two stochastic volatility models, SV and the THSV, with a wide range of the GARCH family of volatility models: GARCH, IGARCH, GJR-GARCH EGARCH, and EWMA model. The comparison was conducted in two different samples. They found that the SV and the THSV models joined with the EWMA models had the worst performances in estimating the VaR.

### (iii) Realised Volatility

The origin of the realised volatility concept is certainly not recent. Merton (1980) had already mentioned this concept, showing the likelihood of the latent volatility approximation by the addition of  $N$  intra-daily square yields over a  $t$  period, thus implying that the addition of square yields could be used for the variance estimation. Taylor and Xu (1997) showed that the daily realised volatility can be easily crafted by adding the intra-daily square yields. Assuming that a day is divided into equidistant  $N$  periods and if  $r_{i,t}$  represents the intra-daily yield of the  $i$ -interval of day  $t$ , the daily volatility for day  $t$  can be expressed as:

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^N r_{i,t} \right]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N r_{i,t}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=i+1}^N r_{j,t} r_{j-i,t} \quad (29)$$

In the event of yields with "zero" mean and no correlation whatsoever, then  $E\left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_{i,t}^2\right]$

is a consistent estimator of the daily variance  $\sigma_t^2$ .

Andersen et al. (2001a, 2001b) upheld that this measure significantly improves the prediction compared with the standard procedures, which just rely on daily data.

Although financial yields clearly exhibit leptokurtosis, the standardised yields by realised volatility are roughly normal. Furthermore, although the realised volatility distribution poses a clear asymmetry to the right, the distributions of the realised volatility logarithms are approximately Gaussian (Pong et al (2004)). In addition, the long-term dynamics of the realised volatility logarithm can be inferred by a fractionally integrated long memory process. The theory suggests that realised volatility is a non-skewed estimator of the volatility yields and is highly efficient. The use of the realised volatility obtained from the high-frequency intra-daily yields allows for the use of traditional procedures of temporal times series to create patterns and forecasts.

A problem raised by the realised volatility framework is the election of the optimum frequency. The size of the chosen interval of time is a key factor in estimating the volatility as it is an essential parameter due to its reflection of different market member perceptions and actions in the short and long terms. Generally, the intra-daily data are available in a range of frequencies that span from 1 to 30 minutes. There are some methods of correction. The most commonly used is the optimal frequency following the methodology of Hansen and Lunde (2006) and Zhang et al (2005). Both articles show enough evidence of the high efficiency of this correction model. Bandi and Russel (2005a, 2006) minimized the error function using its derivative. These authors estimated and empirically proved that a sample with a frequency equal to 15 minutes would be enough to estimate its volatility. Due to the frequency selection being a restriction and the absence of an overwhelming consensus, the best choice to pick the optimum frequency, as other authors have mentioned, would be realised from computing the realised frequency for different frequencies.

Recent empirical results from the RV literature show two typical features in volatility, which are the asymmetric effect on volatility caused by previous returns and the long-range dependence of volatility. The former issue has been investigated by Bollerslev and Zhou (2006), Bollerslev et al. (2006), Bollerslev et al. (2011), Chen and Ghysels (2010), Martens et al. (2009) and Patton and Sheppard (2009), among others. With respect to the latter point, the autoregressive fractionally integrated model has been used by Andersen et al. (2001a, 2001b and 2003), Koopman et al. (2005) and Pong et al. (2004), among others, whereas other studies have used the heterogeneous autoregressive model of Corsi (2009) to approximate the hyperbolic decay rates associated with long memory models.

Here, we present some of the most representative realised volatility models. Pong et al. (2004) justified the need of an ARMA pattern to seize the realised volatility processes. They showed that the addition of the two AR(1) processes could capture the persistent performance of the realised volatility in a better way than just a single AR(1) process. Because the addition of the two AR(1) processes is equivalent to one ARMA(2,1), the model or pattern to explain the dynamics of realised volatility is represented by:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)(\ln RV_t - \mu) = (1 - \delta_1 L)u_t \quad (30)$$

Andersen et al. (2001a, 2001b and 2003) proposed an autoregressive fractionally integrated long memory model of movable means (ARFIMA) to capture the long-range dependence within the realised volatility processes. They also verified, as previously stated, that the algorithm of realised volatility describes a roughly normal distribution. The mathematical expression of the ARFIMA(1,  $d_{RV}$ , 1) model for the logarithm of realised volatility has the following expression:

$$(1 - \psi_1 L)(1 - L)^{d_{RV}} (\log(RV_t) - \mu) = (1 + \delta_1 L)u_t \quad (31)$$

where  $d_{RV}$  is the fractionally differentiating parameter and  $u_t$  is the distributed error according to the  $N(0, \sigma_u^2)$  distribution.

Müller et al. (1997) proposed the heterogeneous interval ARCH (HARCH) process for different time intervals of different sizes. The HARCH process is able to reproduce long memory volatility. Similar to most of the ARCH processes, the HARCH model is based on the square of the change of prices. The HARCH(n) model is defined by the equations:

$$r_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = c_o + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^j r_{t-i} \right)^2 \quad (32)$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  follows a normal distribution with mean zero and variance 1 and the parameters comply with the conditions  $c_o > 0$ ,  $c_n > 0$  y  $c_j \geq 0$  for  $j=1, \dots, n-1$ . The equation of the variance is a linear combination of the added squared returns.

The HARCH process belongs to the ARCH family but differs from other ARCH processes due to its consideration of the volatility of the return changes upon different-sized intervals compared with the ARCH processes in which the time intervals are always the same size. In the HARCH (2) model case, the variance equation can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_t^2 = c_o + c_1 r_{t-1}^2 + c_2 (r_{t-1} + r_{t-1})^2 = c_o + (c_1 + c_2) r_{t-1}^2 + c_2 r_{t-2}^2 + 2c_2 c_o r_{t-1} r_{t-2} \quad (33)$$

The HARCH (2) process can be identified with an ARCH (2) ordinary process except for the last term. In the ARCH processes what matters is the absolute value of the returns, whereas the sign of those returns is also paramount in the HARCH process: two consecutive changes on the returns with the same size and same direction have a larger contribution to the

variance than two processes of the same size with opposite signs. The process HARCH is similar to a Markov chain.

The authors generalised the HARCH model by imposing onto the volatility a dependence on the volatility of the previous period:

$$\sigma_t^2 = c_o + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j c_{jk} \left( \sum_{i=k}^j r_{t-1} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^q b_i \sigma_{t-1}^2 \quad (34)$$

where  $c_o > 0$ ,  $c_{jk} \geq 0$  for  $j=1, \dots, n$   $k=1, \dots, j$ ;  $b_i \geq 0$  for  $i=1, \dots, q$ .

This formulation accounts for all the cases for HARCH ( $c_{jk}=0$  except  $c_{jj}$ ) as well the ARCH and GARCH ( $c_{jk}=0$  but  $c_{jj}$ ) processes. The last term denotes the GARCH process. In addition, the HARCH model can be depicted as a special case of the Quadratic ARCH (QARCH of Sentana (1995)).

Corsi (2004) extended the HARCH model of Müller and proposed a model that can be explained as a combination of different components of realised volatilities with daily, weekly and monthly frequencies to adjust the pattern of long memory volatility because changes in the short and long terms also affect the return values. The short run-volatility is explained by the volatility of the previous period and by the expected volatility in the medium and long terms for the same period.

$$\ln RV_t^d = \beta_o + \beta_d (\ln RV_{t-1}) + \beta_w (\ln RV_{t-5;t-1}) + \beta_m (\ln RV_{t-22;t-1}) + \varepsilon_t \quad (35)$$

where

$$\ln RV_{t+1-k;t} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \ln RV_{t-j} \quad (36)$$

which means that for the long-term volatility component case ( $\ln RV_{t-22;t-1}$ ), the volatility is calculated using a first-order autoregressive process with the realised volatility mean of the last 22 days. The previous expression can be estimated using minimum ordinary squares.

#### (vi) Empirical results of volatility models in VaR

This section lists the results obtained from the researches regarding the comparison of volatility models in terms of the VaR.

Overall, the EWMA model provides inaccurate VaR estimates. In a comparison with other volatility models, the EWMA model had the worst performance in estimating the VaR (see Chen et al. (2011), Abad and Benito (2012), Ñíguez (2008), Alonso and Arcos (2006), González-Rivera et al. (2004) and Huang and Lin (2004) among others).

The performance of the GARCH models strongly depends on the assumption about the distribution of the returns. Overall, under a normal distribution, the VaR estimates are not very accurate. However, when asymmetric and fat-tail distributions are considered, the results improve considerably.

There is scarce empirical evidence of the relative performance of the stochastic volatility models against the GARCH models in terms of the VaR (see Fleming and Kirby (2003), Lehar et al. (2002), Chen et al. (2011), Gonzalez-Rivera et al. (2004) and Ghen et al. (2011)). Fleming and Kirby (2003) compared a GARCH model with a SARV. They found that both models had comparable performances in terms of the VaR. Lehar et al. (2002) compared option pricing models in terms of VaR using two family models: GARCH and SV. They found that in terms of their ability to forecast the VaR, there are no differences between these volatility models. Chen et al. (2011) compared the performance of two stochastic volatility models, SV and the THSV, with a range wide of GARCH family volatility models: the GARCH, IGARCH, GJR-GARCH EGARCH, and EWMA models. The comparison was conducted on two different samples. They found that the SV, THSV and EWMA models had the worst performances in estimating the VaR. However, in a similar comparison, Gonzalez-Rivera et al. (2004) found that the SV model had the best performance in estimating the VaR. In general, with some exceptions, the evidence suggests that SV models do not improve the results obtained by the family of GARCH models.

The models based on the realised volatility work quite well to estimate the VaR (see Asai et al. (2011), Bownless and Gallo (2010), Clements et al. (2008), Giot and Laurent (2004) and Andersen et al. (2003)). Some papers show that an even simpler model (such as an autoregressive) combined with the assumption of the normal distribution for the returns yields reasonable VaR estimates.

In terms of forecasting volatility, there are many papers in the literature showing that the models based on realised volatility are superior to the GARCH models. However, not many papers report comparisons with regard to their ability to forecast VaR. Brownless and Gallo (2011) compared several RV models with a GARCH and EWMA model and found that the models based on RV outperformed both EWMA and GARCH models. Along this same line, Giot and Laurent (2004) compared several volatility models: EWMA, APARCH, and RV. The models are estimated with the assumption that the returns follow either normal or skewed  $t$ -Student distributions. They found that under a normal distribution, the RV model performed the best. However, under a skewed  $t$ -distribution, both APARCH and RV models provided very close results. These authors emphasised that the superiority of the models based on the realised volatility over the GARCH family is not as obvious when the estimation of the latter assumes the existence of asymmetric and leptokurtic distributions.

There is scarce empirical evidence on the performance of fractional integrated volatility models to estimate the VaR. Examples of papers that report comparisons of these models can be those by So and Yu (2006) and Beltratti and Morana (1999). The first paper compared, in terms of VaR, a FIGARCH model with a GARCH and IGARCH model. It was found that the GARCH model provided more accurate VaR estimates. In a similar comparison

that included the EWMA model, So and Yu (2006) found that FIGARCH could not outperform GARCH. The authors concluded that although their correlation plots displayed some indication of long memory volatility, this feature is not very crucial in determining the proper value of VaR.

However, in the context of the realised volatility models, there is evidence that models that capture long memory in volatility provide accurate VaR estimates (see Andersen et al. (2003) and Asai et al. (2011)). The model proposed in this last paper captured long memory volatility and asymmetric features.

Along this line, Níguez (2008) compared the ability to forecast the VaR of different GARCH family models, GARCH, AGARCH, APARCH, FIGARCH and FIAPARCH, and EWMA, and found that the combination of asymmetric models with fractional integrated models provided the best results.

In the context of the GARCH family models, there is evidence that the Markov-Switching GARCH outperforms the GARCH models (see Li and Lin (2004) and Sajjad et al. (2008)). But, there may not be such evident superiority if the GARCH models considers asymmetric and fat-tail distributions.

Although this evidence is somewhat ambiguous, the asymmetric GARCH models seem to provide better VaR estimations than the symmetric GARCH models. Evidence in favour of this hypothesis can be found in studies by Bali and Theodossiuo (2007), Abad and Benito (2012), Chen et al. (2011), Mittnik and Paoella (2000), Huang and Lin (2004), Angelidis et al. (2007), and Giot and Laurent (2004). In the context of the models based on realised volatility, the asymmetric models also provide better results (see Asai et al. (2011)). Some evidence against this hypothesis can be found in Angelidis et al. (2007).

Finally, some authors state that the assumption of the distribution of the returns, not the volatility models, is actually the important factor for estimating VaR. Evidence supporting this issue is found in the study by Chen et al. (2011).

### **2.2.2. Density functions**

As previously mentioned, the empirical distribution of the financial return has been documented to be asymmetric and exhibit a significant excess of kurtosis (fat tail and peakness). Therefore, assuming a normal distribution for risk management and particularly for estimating the VaR of a portfolio does not produce good results. Under this assumption, the size of the losses will be much higher than those predicted by a normal distribution.

As the *t*-Student distribution has fatter tails than the normal distribution, this distribution that has been commonly used in finance and risk management, particularly to model conditional asset return (Bollerslev (1987)).

In the context of VaR methodology, some applications of this distribution can be found in studies by Cheng et al. (2011), Abad and Benito (2012), Polanski and Stoja (2010), Angelidis et al. (2007), Alonso and Arcos (2006), Guermat and Harris (2002), Billio and Pelizzon (2000), and Angelidis and Benos (2004). The empirical evidence of the performance of this distribution in estimating VaR is ambiguous. Some papers show that the  $t$ -Student distribution performs better than the normal distribution (see Abad and Benito (2012), Polanski and Stoja (2010), Alonso and Arcos (2006), and So and Yu (2006)<sup>4</sup>). However, other papers, such as those by Angelidis et al. (2007), Guermat and Harris (2002), Billio and Pelizzon (2000), and Angelidis and Benos (2004), report that the  $t$ -Student distribution overestimate the proportion of exceptions.

The  $t$ -Student distribution can often account well for the excess kurtosis found in common asset returns, but this distribution does not capture the skewness of the return. Taking this into account, one direction of research in risk management involves searching for other distribution functions that capture these characteristics.

In this context of VaR methodology, several density functions have been considered: (i) the Skewness  $t$ -Student Distribution (SSD) of Hansen (1994), (ii) Exponential Generalized Beta of the Second Kind (EGB2) of McDonal and Xu (1995), (iii) Error Generalised Distribution (GED) of Nelson (1991), (iv) Skewness Error Generalised Distribution (SGED) of Theodossiou (2001), (v)  $t$ -Generalised Distribution of Mcdonald and Newey (1988), (vi) Skewness  $t$ -Generalised distribution (SGT) of Theodossiou (1998) and (vii) Inverse Hyperbolic Sign (IHS) of Johnson (1949). Herein, we describe each of these distributions:

**(i) Skewness  $t$ -Student distribution (SSD) of Hansen**

To allow for skewness in the shape of the conditional return density, Hansen (1994) defined the SSD as:

$$f(z_t|v, \eta) = \begin{cases} bc \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta-2} \left( \frac{bz_t + \alpha}{1-\eta} \right)^2 \right]^{-(\eta+1)/2} & \text{if } z_t < -\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \\ bc \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta-2} \left( \frac{bz_t + \alpha}{1+\eta} \right)^2 \right]^{-(\eta+1)/2} & \text{if } z_t \geq -\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

The constant  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are fixed as:

---

<sup>4</sup> This last paper shows that  $t$ -Student at 1% performs better in larger positions, although it does not in short positions.

$$a = 4\lambda c \left( \frac{\eta-2}{\eta-1} \right) \quad b^2 = 1 + 3\lambda^2 - a^2 \quad c = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\eta+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(\eta-2\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}}$$

where  $z_t = (r_t - \mu_t) / \sigma_t$  are the standardised returns,  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function,  $\lambda$  is the skewness parameter, and  $\eta$  is a tail-thickness parameter. These parameters satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$  and  $\eta > 2$ .

**(ii) Beta Exponential Generalised of the Second Kind (EGB2)**

The EGB2 was proposed by McDonald and Xu (1995). The EGB2 probability density function is:

$$EGB2(z_t; p; q) = C \frac{e^{p(z_t + \delta)/\theta}}{\left(1 + e^{p(z_t + \delta)/\theta}\right)^{p+q}} \quad (38)$$

where  $z_t = (r_t - \mu_t) / \sigma_t$  are the, standardised returns,  $C = 1/(B(p, q)\theta)$ ,  $\delta = (\Psi(p) - \Psi(q))\theta$ ,  $\theta = 1/\sqrt{\Psi'(p) + \Psi'(q)}$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are positive scaling constants,  $B(\cdot)$  is the beta function, and  $\Psi(x) = d \ln \Gamma(x) / dx$  and  $\Psi'(x) = d\Psi(x) / dx$  are the psi function and its first derivative, respectively. The EGB2 is symmetric for equal values of  $p$  and  $q$ , positively skewed for values of  $p > q$ , and negatively skewed for values of  $p < q$ . The EGB2 converges to the normal distribution for infinite values of  $p$  and  $q$ .

**(iii) Error Generalised (GED)**

The GED was proposed by Nelson (1991). The density of a GED random variable that normalised  $z_t$  to have a mean of zero and a variance of one is given by:

$$f(z_t) = \frac{\eta}{\lambda 2^{(1+1/\eta)} \Gamma(1/\eta)} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left|\frac{z_t}{\lambda}\right|^\eta\right\} \quad -\infty < z < \infty, \quad 0 < \eta < \infty \quad (39)$$

where  $z_t = (r_t - \mu_t) / \sigma_t$  are the standardised returns,  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function,  $\lambda \equiv \left[2^{(-2/\nu)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{\eta}\right)\right]^{0.5}$ , and  $\eta$  is a positive parameter, or the degrees of freedom governing the thickness of the tail parameter. When  $\eta < 2$ , the distribution has thinner tails than the normal. For  $\eta = 2$ , it is exactly a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation  $\sigma$ ,



whereas for  $\eta > 2$ , the distribution has an excess kurtosis relative to the normal distribution. For real asset return data, we expect  $\eta < 2$ .

**(iv) Skewness Generalised Error (SGED)**

The SGED was proposed by Theodossiou (2001). The SGED probability density function is

$$f(z_t|\lambda, k) = \frac{C}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{|z_t + \delta|^k}{(1 + \text{sign}(z_t + \delta)\lambda)^k \theta^k}\right) \quad (40)$$

where

$$C = k / (2\theta \Gamma(1/k)) \quad \delta = 2\lambda AS(\lambda)^{-1} \quad \theta = \Gamma(1/k)^{0.5} \Gamma(3/k)^{-0.5} S(\lambda)^{-1}$$

$$\delta = 2\lambda AS(\lambda)^{-1} \quad S(\lambda) = \sqrt{1 + 3\lambda^2 - 4A^2\lambda^2}$$

$z_t = (r_t - \mu_t) / \sigma_t$  are the standardised returns,  $\lambda$  is a skewed parameter  $[\lambda] < 1$ ,  $k$  is the kurtosis parameter, and  $\text{sign}$  denotes the sign function.

**(v) t-Generalised Distribution (GT)**

The GT was proposed by McDonald and Newey (1988). The density of a GT random variable that normalises  $z_t$  to have a mean of zero and a variance of one is given by:

$$f(z_t|\lambda, h, k) = \frac{k\Gamma(h)}{2\lambda\Gamma(1/k)\Gamma(h-1/k)} \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{|z_t|}{\lambda} \right)^k \right\}^{-h} \quad (41)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $-\infty < z_t < \infty$ . Note that this function is a reparameterisation of the usual form of the generalised  $t$  distribution (see, for example, Johnson *et al.* (1995)) with  $p$  replaced by  $k$ ,  $1/(p + q)$  replaced by  $h$  and  $(\sigma q^{1/p})$  replaced by  $\lambda$  (for  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $p > 0$  and  $q > 0$ ).

**(vi) Skewness t-Generalised Distribution (SGT)**

The SGT introduced by Theodossiou (1998) is a skewed extension of the generalised  $t$  distribution that was originally proposed by McDonald and Newey (1988). The SGT is a distribution that allows for a very diverse level of skewness and kurtosis, and it has been used to model the unconditional distribution of daily returns for a variety of financial assets. Furthermore, SGT incorporates several well-known distributions, such as the GT (McDonald and Newey (1988)), SSD of Hansen (1994), SGED of Theodossiou (2001), normal distribution, uniform distribution, GED of Nelson (1991) and  $t$ -Student distribution.

The SGT probability density function for the standardised residual is:

$$f(z_i|\lambda, \eta, k) = C \left[ 1 + \frac{|z_i + \delta|^k}{\left(\frac{\eta+1}{k}\right) \left(1 + \text{sign}(z_i + \delta)\lambda\right)^k \theta^k} \right]^{-\frac{\eta+1}{k}} \quad (42)$$

where

$$C = 0,5k \left(\frac{\eta+1}{k}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k}} B\left(\frac{\eta}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} \theta^{-1} \quad \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g - \rho^2}} \quad \rho = 2\lambda B\left(\frac{\eta}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} B\left(\frac{\eta-1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right)$$

$$g = (1 + 3\lambda^2) B\left(\frac{\eta}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} B\left(\frac{\eta-1}{k}, \frac{3}{k}\right) \quad \delta = \rho\theta$$

$\lambda$  is the skewness parameter,  $|\lambda| < 1$ ;  $\eta$  is a tail-thickness parameter,  $\eta > 2$ ;  $k$  is a peakedness parameter,  $k > 0$ ;  $\text{sign}$  is the sign function;  $B(\cdot)$  is the Beta function;  $\delta$  is the Pearson's skewness; and the mode of  $f(z_i)$ ;  $z_i = (r_i - \mu_i) / \sigma_i$  is the standardised residual.

The skewness parameter  $\lambda$  controls the rate of descent of the density around the mode of  $z_i$ . In the case of positive skewness ( $\lambda > 0$ ), the density function is skewed to the right. In contrast, the density function is skewed to the left with negative skewness ( $\lambda < 0$ ).

#### (vii) Inverse Hyperbolic sine (IHS)

The IHS was proposed by Johnson (1949). The IHS probability density function is

$$IHS(z_i|\lambda, k) = -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi(\theta^2 + (z_i + \delta)^2)}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{k^2}{2} \left(\ln\left((z_i + \delta) + \sqrt{\theta^2 + (z_i + \delta)^2}\right) - (\lambda + \ln(\theta))\right)^2\right] \quad (43)$$

where  $\theta = 1/\sigma_w$ ,  $\delta = \mu_w/\sigma_w$ ,  $\sigma_w = 0.5(e^{2\lambda+k^2} + e^{-2\lambda+k^2} + 2)^{0.5}(e^{k^2} - 1)$ ,  $\mu_w$  and  $\sigma_w$  are the mean and the standard deviation, respectively, of  $w = \sinh(\lambda + x/k)$ ,  $\sinh$  is the hyperbolic sine function, and  $x$  is a standard normal variable. Note that the negative value of  $k$  results in more leptokurtic distributions.

#### (viii) Mixture of Normal Distributions

Finite mixture models, particularly discrete mixtures of normal (MN) models, are an attractive class of non-normal models for the purpose of modelling financial asset returns. These models have been studied across different disciplines (e.g., Everitt and Hand (1981), Titterton et al. (1985) and McLachlan and Peel (2000)). One of the most appealing features of the MN models for modelling asset returns is their ability to capture leptokurtic, skewed and multimodal characteristics of the asset returns.

A general univariate normal mixture GARCH model, generalising earlier specifications such as those of Vlaar and Palm (1993) and Wong and Li (2001), has been proposed by Haas et al. (2004) and Alexander and Lazar (2006) and further investigated by Alexander and Lazar (2005), Ausín and Galeano (2007), Bertholon et al. (2006), Haas et al. (2006), Bauwens and Rombouts (2007), Wu and Lee (2007) and Giannikis et al. (2008).

**(viii.a) Unconditional Mixed Normal Distribution**

A random variable  $y$  is said to have a univariate (finite) normal mixture distribution if its unconditional density is given by

$$f(y) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \phi(y; \mu_j, \sigma_j^2) \quad (44)$$

where  $\lambda_j > 0, j=1, \dots, k, \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1$  are the mixing weights and

$$\phi(y; \mu_j, \sigma_j^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y - \mu_j}{\sigma_j}\right)^2\right\}, \quad j=1, \dots, k$$

are the component densities. The normal mixture has finite moments of all orders with the expected value and variance given by

$$\mu = E(y) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mu_j \quad (45)$$

$$\text{var}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\sigma_j^2 + \mu_j^2) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mu_j\right)^2 \quad (46)$$

**(viii.b) Conditionally Heteroskedastic MN Processes**

A time series  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  is generated by a  $k$ -component mixed normal GARCH(p,q) process or, in short, MN-GARCH, if the conditional distribution of  $\varepsilon_t$  is a  $k$ -component MN with zero mean that is

$$\varepsilon_t | \Psi_{t-1} \sim MN(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \sigma_{1,t}^2, \dots, \sigma_{k,t}^2) \quad (47)$$

where  $\Psi_t$  is the information set at time  $t$  and  $\lambda_i \in (0,1), i=1, \dots, k, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ . By imposing  $\mu_k = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\lambda_i / \lambda_k) \mu_i$  on the mean of the  $k$ th component, guarantees that  $\varepsilon_t$  has a zero mean.

Furthermore, the  $k \times 1$  vector of component variances, denoted by  $\sigma_t^2$ , evolves according to

$$\sigma_t^{(2)} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i z_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^{(2)} \quad (48)$$

where  $\sigma_t^{(2)} = [\sigma_{1,t}^2, \sigma_{2,t}^2, \dots, \sigma_{k,t}^2]$ ;  $\alpha_i = [\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}]$ ;  $i = 0, \dots, q$ , are  $k \times 1$  vectors; and  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p$  are  $k \times k$  matrices with typical elements,  $\beta_{j,mn}$ . The assumptions  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, q$ , and  $\beta_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, p$ , correspond to non-negative conditions of Bollerslev (1986) for a normal GARCH model.

Then, the conditional variance of  $r_t$  is

$$\text{var}(r_t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\sigma_{j,t}^2 + \mu_{j,t}^2) - \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \mu_{j,t} \right)^2 \quad (49)$$

### **(ix) Empirical results of the skewed distributions**

Some applications to estimate the VaR of skewed distributions and a mixture of normal distributions can be found in Cheng et al. (2011), Polanski and Stoja (2010), Bali and Theodossiou (2008), Bali et al. (2008), Haas et al. (2004), Zhang and Cheng (2005), Haas (2009), Ausín and Galeano (2007), Xu and Wirjanto (2010) and Kuester et al. (2006).

These papers raised some important issues. First, regarding the normal and  $t$ -Student distributions, the skewed and fat-tail distributions seem to improve the fit of the financial data (see Bali and Theodossiou (2008), Bali et al. (2008), and Bali and Theodossiou (2007)). Consistently, some studies found that the VaR estimate obtained under skewed and fat-tailed distributions provides a more accurate VaR than those obtained from a normal or  $t$ -Student distribution. For example, Cheng et al. (2011) compared the ability to forecast the VaR of a normal,  $t$ -Student, and *SSD* of Hansen (1994) and GED. In this comparison, the skewed  $t$ -Student and GED distributions provide the best results. Polanski and Stoja (2010) compared the normal,  $t$ -Student, SGT and EGB2 distributions and found that just the latter two distributions provide accurate VaR estimates. Bali and Theodossiou (2007) compared a normal distribution with the SGT distribution. Again, they found that the SGT provided a more accurate VaR estimate.

Additionally, a mixture of normal distributions,  $t$ -Student distributions or GED distributions provided a better VaR estimate than the normal or  $t$ -Student distributions (see Hansen (1994), Zhang and Cheng (2005), Haas (2009), Ausín and Galeano (2007), Xu and Wirjanto (2010) and Kuester et al. (2006)). These studies showed that in the context of the Parametric method, the VaR estimations obtained with models involving a mixture with a normal distributions (and  $t$ -Student distributions) are generally quite precise.

### **2.2.3. Higher-order conditional time-varying moments**

The traditional parametric approach for conditional VaR assumes that the distribution of returns standardised by conditional means and conditional standard deviations is iid. However, there is substantial empirical evidence that the distribution of financial returns

standardised by conditional means and volatility is not iid (see Hansen (1994), Harvey and Siddique (1999), Jondeau and Rockinger (2003), Bali and Weinbaum (2007) and Brooks et al. (2005)). Earlier studies also suggested that the process of negative extreme returns at different quantiles may differ from one another (Engle and Manganelli (2004), Bali and Theodossiou (2007)).

Thus, taking the above into account, the earlier studies developed a new approach to calculate conditional VaR. This new approach considered that the higher-order conditional moments are time-varying (see Bali et al. (2008), Polanski and Stoja (2010) and Ergun and Jun (2010)).

Bali et al. (2008) introduced a new method based on the SGT with time-varying parameters. They allowed higher-order conditional moment parameters of the SGT density to depend on the past information set and hence relax the conventional assumption in the conditional VaR calculation that the distribution of standardised returns is iid. Following Hansen (1994) and Jondeau and Rockinger (2003), they modelled the conditional high-order moment parameters of the SGT density as an autoregressive process. The maximum likelihood estimates show that the time-varying conditional volatility, skewness, tail-thickness, and peakedness parameters of the SGT density are statistically significant. In addition, they found that the conditional SGT-GARCH models with time-varying skewness and kurtosis provided a better fit or returns than the SGT-GARCH models with constant skewness and kurtosis. In their paper, they applied this new approach to calculate the VaR. The in-sample and out-of-sample performance results indicated that the conditional SGT-GARCH approach with autoregressive conditional skewness and kurtosis provided very accurate and robust estimates of the actual VaR thresholds.

In a similar study, Ergun and Jun (2010) considered the SSD distribution of Hansen (1994), which they called the ARCD model, with a time-varying skewness parameter. They found that the GARCH-based models that take conditional skewness and kurtosis into account provided an accurate VaR estimate. Along this same line, Polanski and Stoja (2010) proposed a simple approach to forecast a portfolio VaR. They employed the Gram-Charlier expansion (GCE) augmenting the standard normal distribution with the first four moments, which are allowed to vary over time. The key idea was to employ the GCE of the standard normal density to approximate the probability distribution of daily returns in terms of the cumulants.<sup>5</sup> This approach provides a flexible tool for modelling the empirical distribution of financial data, which, in addition to volatility, exhibit time-varying skewness and leptokurtosis. This method provides accurate and robust estimates of the realised VaR. Despite its simplicity, their dataset

---

<sup>5</sup> Although in different contexts, approximating the distribution of asset returns via the GCE has been previously employed in the literature (e.g., Jarrow and Rudd (1982), Baillie and Bollerslev (1992), Jondeau and Rockinger (2001), Leon et al. (2005) and Christoffersen and Diebold (2006)).

outperformed other estimates that are generated by both constant and time-varying higher-moment models.

All previously mentioned papers compared their VaR estimates with the results obtained by assuming skewed and fat-tail distributions with constant asymmetric and kurtosis parameters. They found that the accuracy of the VaR estimates improved when time-varying asymmetric and kurtosis parameters are considered. These studies suggest that within the context of the Parametric method, techniques that model the dynamic performance of the high-order conditional moments (asymmetry and kurtosis) provide better results than those considering functions with constant high-order moments.

### 2.3. Semi-parametric methods

The Semi-parametric methods combine the Non-parametric approach with the Parametric approach. The most important Semi-parametric methods are Volatility-weight Historical Simulation, Filtered Historical Simulation (FHS), CaViaR method and the approach based on Extreme Value Theory.

#### 2.3.1. Volatility-weight Historical Simulation

Traditional Historical Simulation does not take any recent changes in volatility into account. Thus, Hull and White (1998) proposed a new approach that combines the benefit of Historical Simulation with volatility models. The basic idea of this approach is to update the return information to take into account the recent changes in volatility.

Let  $r_{t,i}$  be the historical return on asset  $i$  on day  $t$  in our historical sample,  $\sigma_{t,i}$  be the forecast of the volatility<sup>6</sup> of the return on asset  $i$  for day  $t$  made at the end of  $t-1$ , and  $\sigma_{T,i}$  be our most recent forecast of the volatility of asset  $i$ . Then, we replace the return in our data set,  $r_{t,i}$ , with volatility-adjusted returns, as given by:

$$r_{t,i}^* = \sigma_{T,i} r_{t,i} / \sigma_{t,i} \quad (50)$$

According to this new approach, the VaR ( $\alpha$ ) is the  $\alpha$  quantile of the empirical distribution of the volatility adjusted return ( $r_{t,i}^*$ ).

This approach directly takes into account the volatility changes, whereas the Historical Simulation approach ignores volatility changes. Furthermore, this method produces a risk estimate that is appropriately sensitive to current volatility estimates. The empirical evidence presented by Hull and White (1998) indicates that this approach produces a VaR estimate superior to that of the Historical Simulation approach.

---

<sup>6</sup> To estimate the volatility of the returns, several volatility models can be used. Hull and White (1998) proposed a GARCH model and the EWMA model.

### 2.3.2. Filtered Historical Simulation

Filtered Historical Simulation was proposed by Barone-Adesi et al. (1999). This method combines the benefits of Historical Simulation with the power and flexibility of conditional volatility models.

Suppose we wish to use Filtered Historical Simulation to estimate the VaR of a single-asset portfolio over a 1-day holding period. In implementing this method, the first step is to fit a conditional volatility model to our portfolio return data. Barone-Adesi et al. (1999) recommended an asymmetric GARCH model. The realised returns are then standardised by dividing each one by the corresponding volatility,  $z_t = (\varepsilon_t / \sigma_t)$ . These standardised returns should be independent and identically distributed and therefore be suitable for Historical Simulation. The third step consists of bootstrapping a large number  $L$  of drawings from the above sample set of standardised returns.

Assuming a 1-day VaR holding period, the third stage involves bootstrapping from our data set of standardised returns: we take a large number of drawings from this data set, which we now treat as a sample, replacing each one after it has been drawn and multiplying each such random drawing by the volatility forecast 1 day ahead:

$$r_{t+1} = \mu + z^* \sigma_{t+1} \quad (51)$$

where  $z^*$  is the simulated standardised return. If we take  $M$  drawings, we therefore obtain a sample of  $M$  simulated returns. With this approach, the  $\text{VaR}(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$  % quantile of the simulated return sample.

The recent empirical evidence shows that this approach works quite well in estimating the VaR (see Barone-Adesi and Giannopolous (2001), Barone-Adesi et al. (2002), Zenti and Pallotta (2001), Pritsker (2001), and Giannopoulos and Tunaru (2005)). With regards to other methods, Zikovic and Aktan (2009), Angelidis et al. (2007), Kuester et al. (2006) and Marimoutou et al. (2009) provide evidence that this method is the best for estimating the VaR. However, other papers indicate that this approach is not better than any others (see Nozari et al. (2010) and Alonso and Arcos (2006)).

### 2.3.3. CAViaR Model

Engle and Manganelli (2004) proposed a conditional autoregressive specification for the VaR. This approach is based on a quantile estimation. Instead of modelling the entire distribution, they proposed modelling the quantile directly. The empirical fact that the volatilities of stock market returns cluster over time may be translated quantitatively in that their distribution is autocorrelated. Consequently, the VaR, which is tightly linked to the standard deviation of the distribution, must exhibit similar behaviour. A natural way to formalise this

characteristic is to use some type of autoregressive specification. Thus, they proposed a conditional autoregressive quantile specification that they called the CAViaR model.

Let  $x_t$  be a vector of time  $t$  observable variables and  $\beta_\alpha$  a  $p$ -vector of unknown parameters. Finally, let  $q_t(\beta) \equiv q_t(x_{t-1}, \beta_\alpha)$  be the  $\alpha$  quantile of the distribution of the portfolio return formed at time  $t-1$ , where we suppress the  $\alpha$  subscript from  $\beta_\alpha$  for notational convenience.

A generic CAViaR specification might be the following:

$$q_t(\beta) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i q_{t-i}(\beta) + \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j l(x_{t-j}) \quad (52)$$

where  $p = r + q + 1$  is the dimension of  $\beta$  and  $l$  is a function of a finite number of lagged observable values. The autoregressive terms  $\beta_i q_{t-i}(\beta)$   $i=1, \dots, q$  ensure that the quantile changes “smoothly” over time. The role of  $l(x_{t-j})$  is to link  $q_t(\beta)$  to observable variables that belong to the information set. A natural choice for  $x_{t-1}$  is lagged returns.

In the context of the CAViaR model, different autoregressive specifications can be considered:

- Symmetric absolute value (SAV):

$$q_t(\beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 q_{t-1}(\beta) + \beta_2 |r_{t-1}| \quad (53)$$

- Asymmetric slope (AS):

$$q_t(\beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 q_{t-1}(\beta) + \beta_2 (r_{t-1})^+ + \beta_3 (r_{t-1})^- \quad (54)$$

- Indirect GARCH(1,1) (IG):

$$q_t(\beta) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 q_{t-1}^2(\beta) + \beta_2 (r_{t-1})^2)^{1/2} \quad (55)$$

- Proportional symmetric adaptive (PSA):

$$q_t(\beta) = q_{t-1} + \beta_1 (|r_{t-1}| - q_{t-1})^+ + \beta_2 (|r_{t-1}| - q_{t-1})^- \quad (56)$$

Chen et al. (2011) proposed a non-linear dynamic quantile family as a natural extension of the AS model. They defined the upcoming models as follows:

- Threshold CAViaR (T-CAViaR)

$$q_t(\beta) = \begin{cases} \beta_1 + \beta_2 q_{t-1}(\beta) + \beta_3 |r_{t-1}| & \text{si } r_{t-1} \leq u \\ \beta_4 + \beta_5 q_{t-1}(\beta) + \beta_6 |r_{t-1}| & \text{si } r_{t-1} > u \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

- Threshold Indirect GARCH (T-IG)

$$q_t(\beta) = \begin{cases} [\beta_1 + \beta_2 q_{t-1}^2(\beta) + \beta_3 r_{t-1}^2]^{1/2} & \text{si } r_{t-1} \leq u \\ [\beta_4 + \beta_5 q_{t-1}^2(\beta) + \beta_6 r_{t-1}^2]^{1/2} & \text{si } r_{t-1} > u \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

In both models,  $u$  represents the threshold value, although the authors indicated that they chose  $u=0$ .



The parameters of the CAViaR models are estimated by regression quantiles, as introduced by Koenker and Basset (1978). They showed how to extend the notion of a sample quantile to a linear regression model.

Bao et al. (2006) showed that CAViaR models are quite satisfactory in a stable period but that their performance is less satisfactory in a volatile period. Polanski and Stoja (2009) showed this method does not provide accurate estimations. Koretas and Zarangas (2005) conducted a comparison of several CAViaR models but did not reach any conclusive result. Chen et al. (2011) compared three CAViaR models (SAV, AS and T-CAViaR) with other alternative models (GARCH-N, GARCH- $t$ , GJR-GARCH, IGARCH, Riskmetric). At the 1% confidence level, the T-CAViaR model performs better than any other model

### 2.3.4. Extreme Value Theory

The Extreme Value Theory (EVT) approach focuses on the limiting distribution of extreme returns observed over a long time period, which is essentially independent of the distribution of the returns themselves. The two main models for EVT are (1) the block maxima models (BM) (McNeil (1998)) and (2) the peaks-over-threshold model (POT). The second is generally considered to be the most useful for practical applications due to the more efficient use of the data at the extreme values. In the framework of the POT models, there are two types of analysis: the Semi-parametric models built around the Hill estimator and its relatives (Beirlant et al. (1996), Danielson et al. (1998)) and the fully Parametric models based on the Generalised Pareto distribution (Embrechts et al. (1999)). In the upcoming sections each one of these approaches is described.

#### 2.3.4.1. Block Maxima Models (BMM)

This approach involves the splitting of the temporal horizon into blocks or sections, taking into account the maximum values in each period. These selected observations form extreme events, also called a maximum block.

The fundamental BMM concept shows how to accurately choose the length period,  $n$ , and the data block within that length. For values greater than  $n$ , the BMM provides a sequence of maximum blocks  $M_{n,1}, \dots, M_{n,m}$  that can be adjusted by a generalised distribution of extreme values (GEV). The maximum loss within a group of  $n$  data is defined as  $M_n = \max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ . For a group of identically distributed observations, the distribution function of  $M_n$  is represented as:

$$P(M_n \leq x) = P(X_1 \leq x, \dots, X_n \leq x) = \prod_{i=1}^n F(x) = F^n(x) \quad (59)$$

The asymptotic approach for  $F^n(x)$  is based on the maximum standardised value

$$Z_n = \frac{M_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \quad (60)$$

where  $\mu_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  are the location and scale parameters, respectively. The theorem of Fisher and Tippet establishes that if  $Z_n$  converges to a non-degenerated distribution, this distribution is the generalised distribution of the extreme values (GEV). The algebraic expression for such a generalised distribution is as follows:

$$H_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(1 + \xi(x - \mu)/\sigma)^{-1/\xi}) & \xi \neq 0 \text{ y } (1 + \xi(x - \mu)/\sigma) > 0 \\ \exp(-e^{-x}) & \xi = 0 \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

where  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ , and  $-\infty < \xi < \infty$ . The parameter  $\xi$  is known as the shape parameter of the GEV distribution, and  $\eta = \xi^{-1}$  is the index of the tail distribution, H. The prior distribution is actually a generalisation of the three types of distributions, depending on the value taken by  $\xi$ .

- Gumbel ( $\xi = 0$ ) type I family. It has light extremes, not heavy extremes.

$$A(x) = \exp(-e^{-(x-\mu)/\sigma}) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{R} \quad (62)$$

- Fréchet ( $\xi > 0$ ) type II family. This distribution is particularly useful for patterning financial returns as it has very heavy tails.

$$\Phi_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(x - \mu)/\sigma)^{-1/\xi} & x \geq \mu \\ 0 & x < \mu \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

- Weibull ( $\xi < 0$ ) type III family. This distribution is used when the extremes are lighter (softer) than those from normal distribution, and thus, it is not particularly useful for applications related to financial yields (returns).

$$\Psi_{\xi, \mu, \sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(x - \mu)/\sigma)^{1/\xi} & x < \mu \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

The  $\xi$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  parameters are estimated using maximum likelihood.

The VaR expression for the Gumbel and Fréchet distribution is as follows:

$$VaR = \begin{cases} \mu_n - \frac{\sigma_n}{\xi_n} (1 - (-n \ln(\alpha))^{-\xi_n}) & \text{to } \xi > 0 \text{ (Fréchet)} \\ \mu_n - \sigma_n \ln(-n \ln(\alpha)) & \text{to } \xi = 0 \text{ (Gumbel)} \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

In most situations, the blocks are selected in such a way that their length matches a year interval and  $n$  is the number of observations within that year period.

This method has been commonly used in hydrology and engineering applications, although it is not very suitable for financial times series due to the cluster phenomenon largely observed in financial returns.

### 2.3.4.2. Peaks over Threshold Models (POT)

The POT model is generally considered to be the most useful for practical applications due to the more efficient use of the data for the extreme values. In the context of this model, we can distinguish between two types of analysis: (a) the fully Parametric models based on the Generalised Pareto distribution (GPD) and (b) the Semi-parametric models built around the Hill estimator.

#### 2.3.4.2.a. Generalised Pareto Distribution

Among the random variables representing financial returns  $(r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n)$ , we choose a low threshold  $u$  and examine all values ( $y$ ) exceeding  $u$ :  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{N_u})$ , where  $y_i = r_i - u$  and  $N_u$  are the number of sample data greater than  $u$ . The distribution of excess losses over the threshold  $u$  is defined as:

$$F_u(y) = P(r - u < y | r > u) = \frac{F(y + u) - F(u)}{1 - F(u)} \quad (66)$$

Assuming that for a certain  $u$ , the distribution of excess losses above the threshold is a Generalised Pareto Distribution,  $G_{k,\xi}(y) = 1 - \left[1 + \frac{k}{\xi} y\right]^{-1/k}$ , the distribution function of returns is given by:

$$F(r) = F(y + u) = [1 - F(u)]G_{k,\xi}(y) + F(u) \quad (67)$$

To construct a tail estimator from this expression, the only additional element we need is an estimate of  $F(u)$ . For this purpose, we take the obvious empirical estimator  $(u - N_u)/u$ . We then use the historical simulation method. Introducing the historical simulation estimate of  $F(u)$  and setting  $r = y + u$  in the equation, we arrive at the tail estimator

$$F(r) = 1 - \frac{N_u}{n} \left[1 + \frac{k}{\xi}(r - u)\right]^{-1/k} \quad r > u \quad (68)$$

For a given probability  $\alpha > F(u)$ , the VaR estimate is calculated by inverting the tail estimation formula to obtain

$$VaR(\alpha) = u + \frac{\xi}{k} \left[ \left[ \frac{n}{N_u} (1 - \alpha) \right]^{-k} - 1 \right] \quad (69)$$

None of the previous Extreme Value Theory-based methods for quantile estimation yield VaR estimates that reflect the current volatility background. These methods are called *Unconditional Extreme Value Theory* methods. Given the conditional heteroscedasticity characteristic of most financial data, McNeil and Frey (2000) proposed a new methodology to estimate the VaR that combines the Extreme Value Theory with volatility models, known as the

*Conditional Extreme Value Theory*. These authors proposed GARCH models to estimate the current volatility and extreme value theory to estimate the distributions tails of the GARCH model shocks.

If the financial returns are a strictly stationary time series and  $\varepsilon$  follows a Generalised Pareto Distribution, denoted by  $G_{k,\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ , the conditional  $\alpha$  quantile of the returns can be estimated as

$$VaR(\alpha) = \mu + \sigma_t G_{k,\sigma}^{-1}(\alpha) \quad (70)$$

where  $\sigma_t^2$  represents the conditional variance of the financial returns and  $G_{k,\sigma}^{-1}(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$  quantile of the Generalised Pareto Distribution, which can be calculated as:

$$G_{k,\sigma}^{-1}(\alpha) = u + \frac{\xi}{k} \left[ \left[ \frac{n}{N_u} (1-\alpha) \right]^{-k} - 1 \right] \quad (71)$$

#### 2.3.4.2.b. Hill estimator

The parameter that collects the features of the tail distribution is the tail index,  $\eta = \xi^{-1}$ . Hill proposed a definition of the tail index as follows:

$$\hat{\eta}_H = \left[ \frac{1}{u} \left( \sum_{i=1}^u \log(r_i) - \log r_{u+1} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad (72)$$

where  $r_u$  represents the threshold return and  $u$  is the number of observations equal to or less than the threshold return. Thus, the Hill estimator is the mean of the most extreme  $u$  observations minus  $u+1$  observation ( $r_{u+1}$ ). Additionally, the associated quantile estimator is (see Danielson and de Vries (2000)):

$$VaR(\alpha) = r_{u+1} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{u/n} \right)^{-1/\eta} \quad (73)$$

The problem posed by this estimator is the lack of any analytical means to choose the threshold value of  $u$  in an optimum manner. Hence, as an alternative, the procedure involves using the feature known as Hill graphics. Different values of the Hill index are calculated for different  $u$  values; the Hill estimator values become represented in a chart or graphic based on  $u$ , and the  $u$  value is selected from the region where the Hill estimators are relatively stable (Hill chart leaning almost horizontally). The underlying intuitive idea posed in the Hill chart is that as  $u$  increases, the estimator variance decreases, and thus, the bias is increased. Therefore, the ability to foresee a balance between both trends is likely. When this level is reached, the estimator remains constant.

The existing literature addressing the EVT models to calculate the VaR is abundant. Regarding the BM models, Silva and Melo (2003) considered different maximum block widths, with the results suggesting that the extreme value method of estimating the VaR is a more

conservative approach for determining the capital requirements than traditional methods. Byström (2004) applied both unconditional and conditional EVT models to the management of extreme market risks in the stock market and found that conditional EVT models provided particularly accurate VaR measures. In addition, a comparison with traditional (GARCH) approaches to calculate the VaR demonstrated EVT as being the superior approach for both standard and more extreme VaR quantiles. Bekiros and Georgoutsos (2005) conducted a comparative evaluation of the predictive performance of various VaR models, with a special emphasis on two methodologies related to the EVT, POT and BM. Their results reinforced previous results and demonstrated that some “traditional” methods might yield similar results at conventional confidence levels but that the EVT methodology produces the most accurate forecasts of extreme losses at very high confidence levels. Tolika et al. (2007) compared EVT with traditional measures (variance and covariance methods, Historical Simulation and Monte Carlo) and agreed with Bekiros and Georgoutsos (2005) on the outperformance of the EVT methods compared with the rest, especially at very high confidence levels. The only model that had a performance comparable with that of the EVT is the historical simulation model.

Some papers showed that unconditional EVT works better than the traditional Historical Simulation or Parametric approaches when a normal distribution for the returns is assumed and a EWMA model is used to estimate the conditional volatility of the return (see Danielsson and Vries (2000)). However, the unconditional version of this approach has not been profusely used in the VaR estimation because such an approach has been overwhelmingly dominated by the conditional EVT (see McNeil and Frey (2000), Ze-To (2008), Velayoudoum et al. (2009), and Abad and Benito (2012)). Recent comparative studies of VaR models, such as Nozari et al. (2010), Zikovic and Aktan (2009), and Gençay and Selçuk (2004), show that conditional EVT approaches perform the best with respect to forecasting the VaR.

Within the POT models, an environment has emerged in which some studies have proposed some improvements in certain aspects. For example, Brooks et al. (2005) calculated the VaR by a semi-nonparametric bootstrap using unconditional density, a GARCH(1,1) model and EVT. They proposed a Semi-nonparametric approach using a Generalised Pareto Distribution, and this method was shown to generate a more accurate VaR than any other method. Marimoutou et al. (2009) used different models and confirmed that the filtering process was important for obtaining better results. Ren and Giles (2007) introduced the media excessive function concept as a new way to choose the threshold. Ze-To (2008) developed a new conditional EVT-based model combined with the GARCH-Jump model to forecast extreme risks. He utilised the GARCH-Jump model to asymmetrically provide the past realisation of jump innovation to the future volatility of the return distribution as feedback and also used the EVT to model the tail distribution of the GARCH-Jump-processed residuals. The model is compared with the GARCH- $t$  and conditional EVT-GARCH models and shows that the

conditional EVT-GARCH-Jump model outperforms the GARCH and GARCH- $t$  models. Chan and Gray (2006) proposed a model that accommodates autoregression and weekly seasonals in both the conditional mean and conditional volatility of the returns as well as leverage effects via an EGARCH specification. In addition, EVT is adopted to explicitly model the tails of the return distribution.

Finally, concerning the Hill index, some authors used the mentioned estimator, such as Bao et al. (2006), whereas others such as Bhattacharyya and Ritolia (2008) used a modified Hill estimator.

### 2.3.5. Monte Carlo (Semi-parametric)

The simplest Monte Carlo procedure to estimate the VaR on date  $t$  on a one-day horizon at a 99% significance level consists of simulating  $N$  draws from the distribution of returns on date  $t+1$ . The VaR at a 99% level is estimated by reading off element  $N/100$  after sorting the  $N$  different draws from the one-day returns, i.e., the VaR estimate is estimated empirically as the  $\alpha$  quantile of the simulated distribution of returns.

However, applying simulations to a dynamic model of risk factor returns that capture path-dependent behaviour, such as volatility clustering, and the essential non-normal features of their multivariate conditional distributions is important. With regard to the first of these, one of the most important features of high-frequency returns is that volatility tends to come in clusters. In this case, we can obtain the GARCH variance estimate at time  $t$  ( $\hat{\sigma}_t$ ) using the simulated returns in the previous simulation and set  $r_t = \hat{\sigma}_t z_t$ , where  $z_t$  is a simulation from a standard normal variable. With regard to the second item, we can model the interdependence using the standard multivariate normal or  $t$ -Student distribution or use copulas instead of correlation as the dependent metric.

Monte Carlo is an interesting technique that can be used to estimate the VaR for non-linear portfolios (see Estrella et al. (1994)) because it requires no simplifying assumptions about the joint distribution of the underlying data. However, it involves considerable computational expenses. This computational cost has been a barrier limiting its application into real-world risk containment problems. Srinivasan and Shah (2001) proposed alternative algorithms that require modest computational costs, and Antonelli and Iovino (2002) proposed a methodology that improves the computational efficiency of the Monte Carlo simulation approach of VaR estimates.

Finally, the evidence shown in the studies on the comparison of VaR methodologies agree with the greater accuracy of the VaR estimations achieved by methods other than Monte Carlo (see Abad and Benito (2012), Huang (2009), Tolikas et al. (2007) and Bao et al. (2006)).

### 3. Comparison of VaR methods

The empirical literature on VaR methodology is quite extensive. However, there are not many papers dedicated to comparing the performance of a large range of VaR methodologies.

In Table 1, we resume some papers. This table includes 20 papers. Basically, the methodologies that are compared in these papers are HS, FHS, the Parametric method under different distribution and the EVT-based approach. Only a few of the studies included other methods, such as the Monte Carlo, CaViaR, and the Non-Parametric density estimation methods, in their comparisons. For each benchmark article, we marked the methods included in the comparative exercise with a cross and shaded the method that provides the best VaR estimations. The approach based on the EVT is the best at estimating the VaR, and in 87.5% of the cases that include this method in the comparison, followed closely by FHS, in 71.4% of the cases.

The results yielded by the Parametric method should be paid attention to when considering that the conditional high-order moments are time-varying. The two papers that include this method in the comparison obtained a 100% outcome success (see Ergun and Jun (2010) and Polanski and Stoja (2010)). However, only one of these papers included EVT in the comparison (Ergun and Jun (2010)).

Although not shown in the spread sheet, the VaR estimations obtained by the Parametric method within asymmetric and leptokurtic distributions and in a mixed-distribution context are also quite accurate (see Bali and Theodossiou (2008), Bali et al. (2008), Bali and Theodossiou (2007), Chen et al. (2011) and Polanski and Stoja (2010)), although this method does not seem to be superior to EVT and FHS (Kuester et al. (2006), Cifter and Özüin (2007) and Angelidis et al. (2007)). Importantly, however, there are not many papers that include these three methods in the comparison.

Although there are not many works dedicated to the comparison of a wide range of VaR methodologies, the existing ones offer quite conclusive results. These results show that the approach based on the EVT and FHS is the best method to estimate the VaR. We also noted that the VaR estimates obtained by the Parametric method under the skewed and fat-tail distributions are promising results, especially when the assumption that the standardised returns is iid is abandoned and that the conditional high-order moments are considered to be time-varying.

**Table 1. Overview of papers that compare VaR methodologies**

	HS	FHS	RM	Parametric Approaches					ETV	CaViaR	MC	N-P
				N	T	SSD	MN	HOM				
Abad and Benito (2012)	x		x	x	x				x		x	
Ergun and Jun (2010)				x	x	x		x	x			
Nazori et al. (2010)		x							x			
Polansky and Stoja (2010)			x	x	x	x		x		x		
Brownless and Gallo (2010)	x		x	x								x
Huang(2009)	x			x							x	x
Marimoutou et al. (2009)	x	x		x					x			
Zikovic and Aktan (2009)	x	x		x					x			
Angelidis et al. (2007)		x		x	x	x			x			
Ozun et al. (2007)				x	x	x			x			
Tolikas et al. (2007)	x			x					x		x	
Alonso and Arcos (2006)	x	x		x	x							
Bao et al. (2006)	x	x	x						x	x	x	x
Bhattacharyya and Ritolia	x			x					x			
Kuester et al. (2006)	x	x		x	x	x	x		x			
Bekeiros et al. (2005)	x		x	x	x				x			
Gençay and Selçuk (2004)	x			x	x				x			
Gençay et al. (2003)	x			x	x				x			
Darbba, G. (2001)	x			x					x			
Danielson and Vries (2000)			x	x	x				x			

Note: In this table, we present some empirical papers involving comparisons of VaR methodologies. The VaR methodologies are marked with a cross when they are included in a paper. A shaded cell indicates the best methodology to estimate the VaR in the paper. The VaR approaches included in these paper are the following: Historical Simulation (HS); Filtered Historical Simulation (FHS); Riskmetrics (RM); Parametric Approaches estimated under different distributions, including the normal distribution (N), *t*-Student distribution (T), skewed *t*-Student distribution (SSD), mixed normal distribution (MN) and high-order moment time-varying distribution (HOM); Extreme Value Theory (EVT); CaViaR method (CaViaR); Monte Carlo Simulation (MC); and non-parametric estimation of the density function (N-P).



#### 4. Conclusion

In this article, we review the full range of methodologies developed to estimate the VaR, from the standard models to the recently proposed methodologies. For these methodologies, we present their relative strengths and weaknesses from both theoretical and practical perspectives.

The performance of the parametric approach in estimating the VaR depends on the assumed distribution of the financial return and on the volatility model used to estimate the conditional volatility of the returns. With regard to the return distribution, the empirical evidence suggests that when asymmetric and fat-tail distributions are considered, the VaR estimate improves considerably. Regardless of the volatility model used, the results obtained by the empirical literature indicate the following. (i) The EWMA model provides inaccurate VaR estimates. (ii) The performance of the GARCH models strongly depend on the assumption of the distribution of the returns. Overall, under a normal distribution, the VaR estimates are not very accurate, but when asymmetric and fat-tail distributions are considered, the results improve considerably. (iii) Overall, with some exceptions, the evidence suggests that SV models do not improve the results obtained by the family of GARCH models. (iv) The models based on the realised volatility work quite well to estimate the VaR, outperforming the GARCH models estimated under a normal distribution. Additionally, Markov-Switching GARCH outperforms the GARCH models estimated under normality. In the case of the realised volatility models, some authors indicate that the superiority of this type of model compared with the GARCH family is not as strong when the GARCH models are estimated assuming asymmetric and fat-tail distributions for the returns. (v) In the framework of the GARCH family, the fractional-integrated GARCH models do not appear to be superior to the GARCH models. However, in the context of the realised volatility models, there is evidence that models that capture long memory in volatility provide more accurate VaR estimates. (vi) Although the evidence is somewhat ambiguous, asymmetric volatility models appear to provide a better VaR estimate than symmetric models.

Although not many works are dedicated to comparing a wide range of VaR methodologies, the existing ones offer quite conclusive results. The empirical literature shows that the approach based on the EVT and FHS is the best method to estimate the VaR. Regardless, it is important to note that the VaR estimates obtained by the Parametric method under the skewed and fat-tail distributions are promising results, especially when the assumption that the standardised returns is iid is abandoned and that the conditional high-order moments are considered to be time-varying.

To further the research, it would be interesting to explore whether in the context of an approach based on the EVT and FHS, considering asymmetric and fat-tail distributions to model the volatility of the returns could help improve the results obtained by these methods. Along this

line, applying the realised volatility model and Markov-switching model may improve the results.

## References

- Abad, P., Benito, S., 2012. A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* (forthcoming).
- Aït-Sahalia, Y., Lo, A., 1998. Nonparametric Estimation of State-price Densities Implicit in Financial Asset Prices. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 53, n° 2, pp. 499-547.
- Alexander, C., Lazar, E., 2005. Asymmetries and Volatility Regimes in the European Equity Market. ICMA Centre Discussion Papers in Finance 2005-14, The Business School for Financial Markets at the University Reading.
- Alexander, C., Lazar, E., 2006. Normal Mixture GARCH(1,1): Applications to Exchange Rate Modelling. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. Vol. 21, n° 3, pp. 307-336.
- Alonso, J., Arcos, M., 2005. VaR: Evaluación del Desempeño de Diferentes Metodologías para Siete Países Latinoamericanos. *Borradores de economía y finanzas*.
- Alonso, J., Arcos, M., 2006. Cuatro Hechos Estilizados de las Series de Rendimientos: una Ilustración para Colombia. *Estudios Gerenciales*. Vol. 22, n° 100, pp. 103- 123.
- Andersen, T., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F., Ebens, H., 2001a. The Distribution of Realised Stock Returns Volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 61, pp. 43-76.
- Andersen, T., Bollerslev, T., Das A., (2001b). The distribution of realized exchange rate volatility. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 96, pp. 42-52.
- Andersen, T., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F., Labys, P., 2003. Modeling and Forecasting Realized Volatility. *Econometrica*, 71, pp. 529-626.
- Ané, T., Urech-Rangau, L., 2006. Stock Market Dynamicss in a Regime-Switching Asymmetric Power GARCH Model. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 15, pp. 109-129.
- Angelidis, T., Benos, A., 2004. Market Risk in Commodity Markets: A Switching Regime Approach. *Economic and Financial Modelling*, Vol. 11, n° 3, pp. 103-48.
- Angelidis, T., Benos, A., Degiannakis, S., 2007. A Robust VaR Model under Different Time Periods and Weighting Schemes. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, Vol 28, n° 2, pp. 187-201.
- Antonelli, S., Iovino, M.,G., 2002. Optimization of Monte Carlo Procedures for Value at Risk Estimates. *Economic Notes*, Vol. 31, n° 1, pp. 59-78. February 2002.
- Asai, M., McAleer, M., Medeiros, M., 2011. Asymmetry and Leverage in Realized Volatility (August 30, 2009). Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1464350> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1464350>
- Ashley, R., Randal, V., 2009. Frequency Dependence in Regression Model Coefficients: an Alternative Approach for Modeling Nonlinear Dynamics Relationships in Time Series. *Econometric Reviews*, Vol. 28, n° 1-3, pp. 4-20.
- Ausín, M., Galeano, P., 2007. Bayesian Estimation of the Gaussian Mixture GARCH Model. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*. Vol. 51, n° 5, pp. 2636-2652.
- Baillie, R., Bollerslev, T., 1992. Prediction in Dynamic Models with Time-Dependent Conditional Variances. *Journal of Econometrics*. Vol. 52, n° 1-2, April-May 1992, pp. 91-113.
- Baillie, R., Bollerslev, T., Mikkelsen, H., 1996. Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 74, pp. 3-30.
- Bali, T., Theodossiou, P., 2007. A Conditional-SGT-VaR Approach with Alternative GARCH Models. *Annals of Operations Research*, 151, pp. 241-267.

Bali, T., Weinbaum, D., 2007. A Conditional Extreme Value Volatility Estimator Based on High-frequency Returns. *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control*, 31, pp. 361-397

Bali, T., Theodossiou, P., 2008. Risk Measurement Performance of Alternative Distribution Functions. *The Journal of Risk and Insurance*. Vol. 75, n° 2, pp. 411-437.

Bali, T., Hengyong, M., Tang, Y., 2008. The Role of Autoregressive Conditional Skewness and Kurtosis in the Estimation of Conditional VaR. *Journal of Banking & Finance*. Vol. 32, n° 2, pp. 269-282.

Bandi, F., Russell, J., 2005a. Markets Microstructure Noise, Integrated Variance Estimators, and the Limitations of Asymptotic Approximations: a solution. Unpublished manuscript, Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago.

Bandi, F., Russell, J., 2006. Realized Variance and Market Microstructure Noise. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, Vol. 24, n° 2, pp. 167-173.

Bao, Y., Lee, T., Saltoglu, B., 2006. Evaluating Predictive Performance of Value-at-risk Models in Emerging Markets: A Reality Check. *Journal of Forecasting*, 25, pp 101-128.

Barone-Adesi, G., Giannopoulos, K., 2001. Non-parametric VaR Techniques. Myths and Realities. *Economic Notes by Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena, SpA*. Vol 30, n° 2, pp. 167-181.

Barone-Adesi, G., Giannopoulos, K., Vosper, L., 1999. VaR without Correlations for Nonlinear Portfolios. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 19, pp. 583-602.

Barone-Adesi, G., Giannopoulos, K., Vosper, L., 2002. Backtesting Derivative Portfolios with Filtered Historical Simulation (FHS). *European Financial Management*, Vol. 8, n° 1, pp. 31-58.

Bauwens, L., Rombouts, J., 2007. Bayesian Inference for the Mixed Conditional Heteroskedasticity Model. *Econometrics Journal*, Vol. 10, pp. 408-425.

Beder, T., 1995. VaR: Seductive but Dangerous. *Financial Analysts Journal*. Sep-Oct 1995. pp. 12-24.

Beder, T., 1996. Report Card on Value at Risk: High Potential but Slow Starter. *Bank Accounting & Finance*. Vol. 10, n° 1 (Fall), pp. 14-25.

Beirlant, J., Teugels, J.L., Vyncker, P., 1996. *Practical Analysis of Extreme Values*. Leuven University Press, Leuven, Belgium.

Bekiros, S., Georgoutsos, D., 2005. Estimation of Value-at-Risk by Extreme Value and Conventional Methods: a Comparative Evaluation of their Predictive Performance. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*. Vol. 15, n° 3, pp. 209-228.

Beltratti, A., Morana, C., 1999. Computing Value at Risk with High Frequency Data. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 6, pp. 431-455.

Bertholon, H., Monfort, A., Pegoraro, F., 2006. Pricing and Inference with Mixtures of Conditionally Normal Processes. *Série des Documents de Travail du Centre de Recherche en Economie et Statistique*, n° 2006-28 (<http://www.crest.fr/images/doctravail/2006-28.pdf>).

Bhattacharya, M., Ritolia, G., 2008. Conditional VaR Using ETV. Towards a Planned Margin Scheme. *International Review of Financial Analysis*. Vol 17, n° 2, pp. 382-395.

Billio, M., Pelizzon, L., 2000. Value-at-risk: a Multivariate Switching Regime Approach. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 7, pp. 531-554.

Black, F., 1976. Studies in Stock Price Volatility Changes. *Proceedings of the 1976 Business Meeting of the Business and Economics Statistics Section, American Association*: 177-181.

- Blanco, C., Blomstrom, S., 1999. VaR is as Useful as You Decide to Make it: Commodities-Now, marzo.
- Bollerslev, T., 1986. Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 21, pp. 307-327.
- Bollerslev, T., 1987. A Conditionally Heteroskedastic Time Series Model for Speculative Prices and Rates of Return. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 69, pp. 542-547.
- Bollerslev, T., Mikkelsen, H., 1996. Modeling and Pricing Long Memory in Stock Market Volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, 73, pp. 151-184.
- Bollerslev, T., Zhou, H., 2006. Volatility Puzzles: a Simple Framework for Gauging Return-volatility Regression. *Journal of Econometrics*. Vol. 131, n° 1-2, pp. 123-150.
- Bollerslev, T., Litvinova, J., Tauchen, G., 2006. Leverage and Volatility Feedback Effects in High-frequency Data. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 4, n° 3, pp. 354-384.
- Bollerslev, T., Gibson, M., Zhou, H., 2011. Dynamics Estimation of Volatility Risk Premia and Investor Risk Aversion from Option-implied and Realized Volatilities. *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 160, n° 1, pp. 235-245.
- Breidt, F., Crato, N., De Lima, P., 1998. The Detection and Estimation of Long Memory in Stochastic Volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 83, n° 1-2, pp. 325-348.
- Brooks, C., Clare, A., Dalle Molle J., Persaud, G., 2005. A Comparison of Extreme Value Theory Approaches for Determining Value at Risk. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 12, pp. 339-352.
- Brownlees, C., Gallo, G., 2010. Comparison of Volatility Measures: a Risk Management Perspective. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 8, n° 1, pp. 29-56.
- Brownlees, C., Gallo, G., 2011. Shrinkage Estimation of Semiparametric Multiplicative Error Models. *International Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 27, n° 2, pp. 365-378.
- Butler, J. S., Schachter, B., 1998. "Estimating Value at Risk with a Precision Measure by Combining Kernel Estimation with Historical Simulation". *Review of Derivatives Research*, 1, pp. 371-390.
- Byström, H., 2004. Managing Extreme Risks in Tranquil and Volatile Markets Using conditional Extreme Value Theory. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, n° 13, pp.133-152.
- Carvalho, M., Freire, M., Medeiros, M., Souza, L., 2006. Modeling and Forecasting the Volatility of Brazilian Asset Returns: A Realized Variance Approach. *Revista Brasileira de Finanças*, Vol. 4, n°1, pp. 321-343.
- Cifter, A., Özün, A., 2007. Nonlinear Combination of Financial Forecast with Genetic Algorithm. MPRA Paper n° 2488, posted 07. November 2007 /02:31. Online at <http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/2488/>
- Clements, M., Galvao, A., Kim, J., 2008. Quantile Forecasts of Daily Exchange Rate Returns from Forecasts of Realized Volatility. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 15, pp. 729-750.
- Corsi, F., 2004. A Simple Long-memory Model of Realized Volatility. Technical report. University of Southern Switzerland.
- Corsi, F., 2009. A Simple Approximate Long-Memory Model of Realized Volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, n° 7, pp. 174-196.
- Chan, K., Gray, P., 2006. Using Extreme Value Theory to Measure Value-at-Risk for Daily Electricity Spot Prices. *International Journal of Forecasting*, n° 22, pp. 283-300.
- Chen, S., Tang, C., 2005. Nonparametric Inference of Value-at-Risk for Dependent Financial Returns. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 3, n° 2, pp. 227-255.

- Chen, X., Ghysels, E., 2010. News-Good or Bad-and Its Impact on Volatility Predictions over Multiple Horizons. *The Review of Financial Studies*. Vol. 24, n° 1, pp. 46-80.
- Chen, C., So, M., Lin, E., 2009. Volatility Forecasting with Double Markov Switching GARCH Models. *Journal of Forecasting*, n° 28, pp. 681-697.
- Chen, C., Gerlach, R., Lin, E., and Lee, W., 2011. Bayesian Forecasting for Financial Risk Management, Pre and Post the Global Financial Crisis. *Journal of Forecasting*. Published online in Wiley Online Library ([wileyonlinelibrary.com/DOI:10.1002/for.1237](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/DOI/10.1002/for.1237))
- Cheng, W., Hung, J., 2011. Skewness and Leptokurtosis in GARCH-typed VaR Estimation of Petroleum and Metal Asset Returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 18, pp. 160-173.
- Christoffersen, P., Diebold, F., 2006. Financial Asset Returns, Direction-of-Change Forecasting and Volatility Dynamicss. *Management Science*, 52, pp.1273-1287.
- Danielsson, J., 1994. Stochastic Volatility in Asset Prices: Estimation with Simulated Maximum Likelihood. *Journal of Econometrics*, 61, pp. 375-400.
- Danielsson, J., de Vries, C., 2000. Value-at-Risk and Extreme Returns. *Annales d' Economie et de Statistique*, 60, pp. 239-270.
- Danielsson, J., Hartmann, P., de Vries, C., 1998. The Cost of Conservatism. *Risk*, 11 (1), pp. 101-103.
- Ding, Z., Granger, C., Engle, R., 1993. A Long Memory Property of Stock Market Returns and a New Model. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 1, pp. 83-106.
- Down, K., 2002. *Measuring Market Risk*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Embrechts, P., Resnick, S., Samorodnitsky, G., 1999. Extreme Value Theory as a Risk Management Tool. *North American Actuarial Journal*, 26. pp. 30-41.
- Engle, R., 1982. Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of U.K. Inflation. *Econometrica*, 50, pp. 987-1008.
- Engle, R., 1990. Discussion: Stock Market Volatility and the Crash of '87. *Review of Financial Studies*, 3, pp. 103-106.
- Engle, R., Bollerslev, T., 1986. Modeling the Persistence of Conditional Variances. *Econometric Reviews*, 5, pp. 1-50.
- Engle, R., González-Rivera, G., 1991. Semiparametric ARCH Models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, Vol.9, n° 4, pp. 345-359.
- Engle, R., Ng V. (1993). Measuring and Testing the Impact of News on Volatility. *Journal of Finance*, 48, pp. 1749-1778.
- Engle, R., Manganelli, S., 2004. CAViaR: Conditional Autoregressive Value at Risk by Regression Quantiles. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, Vol. 22, n° 4, pp. 367-381.
- Ergun, A., Jun, J., 2010. Time-varying Higher-order Conditional Moments and Forecasting Intraday VaR and Expected Shortfall. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 50, n° 3, pp. 264-272.
- Estrella, A., Hendricks, D., Kambhu, J., Shin, S., Walter, S., 1994. The Price Risk of Options Positions: Measurement and Capital Requirements. Federal Reserve Bank of New York. *Quarterly Review*, pp. 27-43.
- Everitt, B., Hand, D., 1981. *Finite Mixture Distributions*. Monographs on Applied Probability and Statistics, London: Chapman and Hall, 1981.

- Fleming, J., Kirby, C., 2003. A Closer Look at the Relation between GARCH and Stochastic Autoregressive Volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 1, n° 3, pp. 365-419.
- French, K., Schwert, G., Stambaugh, R., 1987. Expected Stock Returns and Volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 19, pp. 3-29.
- Gençay, R., Selçuk, F., 2004. Extreme Value Theory and Value-at-Risk: Relative Performance in Emerging Markets. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 20, pp. 287-303.
- Gento, P., 2001. Comparación entre Métodos Alternativos para la Estimación del Valor en Riesgo. Documentos de trabajo. Doc. 1/2001/1. Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales de Albacete. Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha.
- Ghysels, E., Harvey, A., Renault, E., 1996. Stochastic Volatility. En C.R.Rao y G.S. Maddala (Eds). *Statistical Methods in Finance*, pp. 119-191, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Giannikis, D., Vrontos, I., Dellaportas, P., 2008. Modelling Nonlinearities and Heavy Tails via Threshold Normal Mixture GARCH models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, Vol. 52, n° 3, pp. 1549-1571.
- Giannopoulos, K., Tunaru, R., 2005. Coherent Risk Measures under Filtered Historical Simulation. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 29, n° 4, pp. 979-996.
- Giot, P., Laurent, S., 2004. Modelling Daily Value-at-Risk Using Realized Volatility and ARCH Type Models. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 11, pp. 379-398.
- Glosten, L., Jagannathan, R., Runkle, D., 1993. On the Relation between the Expected Value and the Volatility of the Nominal Excess Return on Stocks. *The Journal of finance*, Vol. 48, n° 5, pp.1779-1801.
- González-Rivera, G., Lee, T., Mishra, S., 2004. Forecasting Volatility: A Reality Check Based on Option Pricing, Utility Function, Value-at-Risk, and Predictive Likelihood. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 20, pp. 629-645.
- Gouriéroux, C., Jasiak (2001). *Financial econometrics*. Princeton. Princeton University Press.
- Gray, S.F., 1996. Modeling the Conditional Distribution of Interest Rates as a Regime-Switching Process. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 42, pp. 27-62.
- Guermat, C., Harris, R., 2002. Forecasting Value-at-Risk Allowing for Time Variation in the Variance and Kurtosis of Portfolio Returns. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 18, pp. 409-419.
- Haas, M., 2009. Modelling Skewness and Kurtosis with the Skewed Gauss-Laplace Sum Distribution. *Applied Economics Letters*, Vol. 16, n° 10-12, pp. 1277-1283.
- Haas, M., Mittnik, S., Paolella, M., 2004. Mixed Normal Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol.2, n° 2, pp. 211-250.
- Haas, M., Mittnik, S., Paolella, M., 2006. Modelling and Predicting Market Risk with Laplace-Gaussian Mixture Distributions. *Applied Financial Economics*. Vol. 16, n° 15, pp. 1145-1162.
- Hamilton, J., 1989. A New Approach to the Economic Analysis of Nonstationary Time Series and the Business Cycle. *Econometrica*, 57, pp. 357-384.
- Hansen, B., 1994. Autoregressive Conditional Density Estimation. *International Economic Review*, 35, pp. 705-730.
- Hansen, P., Lunde, A., 2006. Realized Variance and Market Microstructure Noise. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 24, pp.127-161-161.

- Harvey, A., 1998. Long Memory in Stochastic Volatility. In: Kight J, Satchell, E. (Eds.) *Forecasting Volatility in Financial Markets*. Butterworth-Haineman, London, pp. 307-320.
- Harvey, A., Shephard, N., 1996. Estimation of an Asymmetric Stochastic Volatility Model for Asset Returns. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, Vol. 14, n° 4, pp.429-434.
- Harvey, C., Siddique, A., 1999. Autoregressive Conditional Skewness. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 34, n° 4, pp. 465-487.
- Harvey, A., Ruiz, E., Shephard, N., 1994. Multivariate Stochastic Variance Models, *Review of Economics Studies*, 61, pp. 247-264.
- Hendricks, D., 1996. Evaluation of Value-at-Risk Models Using Historical Data. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review*, Vol. 2, n° 1, pp. 39-70.
- Heston, S.L., Nandi, S., 2000. A Closed-Form GARCH Option Valuation Model. *Review of Financial Studies*, 13, pp. 585-625.
- Higgins, M., Bera, A., 1992. A Class of Nonlinear ARCH Models. *International Economic Review*, Vol. 33, n° 1, pp.137-158.
- Huang, A., 2009. A Value-at-Risk Approach with Kernel Estimator. *Applied Financial Economics*, n° 19, pp. 379-395.
- Huang, Y., Lin, B., 2004. Value-at-Risk Analysis for Taiwan Stock Index Futures: Fat Tails and Conditional Asymmetries in Return Innovations. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 22, pp. 79-95.
- Hull, J., White, A., 1998. Incorporating Volatility Updating into the Historical Simulation Method for Value-at-Risk. *Journal of Risk*, Vol. 1, n° 1, pp. 5-19.
- Jackson, P., Maude, D., Perraudin, W., 1998. Bank Capital and Value-at-Risk. Bank of England. *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin*. August, pp. 258-266.
- Jacquier, E., Polson, N., Rossi, P., 1994. Bayesian Analysis of Stochastic Volatility Models (with discussion). *Journal of Finance*, 42, pp. 371-389.
- Jarrow, R., Rudd, A., 1982. Approximate Option Valuation for Arbitrary Stochastic Processes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, pp. 347-369.
- Johnson, N.L., 1949. Systems of Frequency Curves Generated by Methods of Translations. *Biometrika*, 36, pp. 149-176.
- Johnson, N., Kotz, S., Balakrishnan, N., 1995. *Continuous Univariate Distributions*. Vol. 2, 2nd Ed (1995). John Wiley, New York.
- Jondeau, E., Rockinger, N., 2001. Conditional dependency of financial series: an application of copulas. Working Paper, Banque de France, NER#82.
- Jondeau, E., Rockinger, N., 2003. Conditional Volatility, Skewness and Kurtosis: Existence, Persistence, and Comovements. *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control*, 27, pp. 1699-1737.
- Koenker, R., Basset, G., 1978. Regression Quantiles. *Econometrica*, 46, pp. 33-50.
- Koopman, S., Jungbacker, B., Hol, E., 2005. Forecasting Daily Variability of the S&P 100 Stock Index Using Historical, Realized and Implied Volatility Measurements. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, Vol. 12, n° 3, pp. 445-475.
- Kouretas, G., Zarangas, L., 2005. Conditional Autoregressive Value at Risk by Regression Quantiles: Estimating Market Risk for Major Stock Markets. Working paper, Preveza, Greece.



Kuester, K., Mittnik, S., Paoletta, M., 2006. Value-at-Risk Prediction: A Comparison of Alternative Strategies. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 4, n° 1, pp. 53-89.

Lehar A., Scheicher, M., Schittenkopf, C., 2002. GARCH vs. Stochastic Volatility: Option Pricing and Risk Management. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 26, pp 323-345.

Leon, A., Gonzalo, R., Serna, G., 2005. Autoregressive Conditional Volatility, Skewness and Kurtosis. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 45, pp. 599-618.

Li, M., Lin, H., 2004. Estimating Value-at-Risk via Markov Switching ARCH Models: an Empirical Study on Stock Index Returns. *Applied Economics Letters*, 11, pp. 679-691.

Mahoney, J., 1995. Empirical-based versus Model-based Approaches to Value-at-Risk: an Examination of Foreign Exchange and Global Equity Portfolios, in *Risk Measurement and Systemic Risk. Proceedings of a Joint Central Bank Research Conference Washington, DC: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, 199-217.

Marimoutou, V., Raggad, B., Trabelsi, A., 2009. Extreme Value Theory and Value at Risk: Application to oil market. *Energy Economics*, 31, pp. 519-530.

Martens, M., van Dijk, D., de Pooter, M., 2009. Forecasting S&P 500 Volatility: Long Memory, Level Shifts, Leverage Effects, Day-of-the-week Seasonality, and Macroeconomic Announcements. *International Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 25, n° 2, pp. 282-303.

McAleer, M., Jimenez, J.A., Pérez, A., 2011. International Evidence on GFC-robust Forecast for Risk Management under the Basel Accord. *Econometric Institute Report EI 2011-04*, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Econometric Institute.

McAleer, M., Jiménez-Martin, J., Pérez-Amaral, T., 2010a. A Decision Rule to Minimize Daily Capital Charges in Forecasting Value-at-Risk, *Journal of Forecasting*, 29, pp. 617-634.

McAleer, M., Jiménez-Martin, J., Pérez-Amaral, T., 2010b. What Happened to Risk Management During the 2008-09 Financial Crisis? In R. W. Kolb (Ed.), *Lessons from the Financial Crisis: Causes, Consequences and Our Economic Future*, Wiley, New York, pp. 307-316.

McDonald, J., Newey, W., 1988. Partially Adaptive Estimation of Regression Models via the Generalized t Distribution. *Econometric Theory*, 4, n° 3, pp. 428-457.

McDonald, J., Xu, Y., 1995. A Generalization of the Beta Distribution with Applications. *Journal of Econometrics*, March-April 1995, Vol. 66, n° 1-2, pp. 133-152.

McLachlan, G., Peel, D., 2000. *Finite Mixture Models*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

McNeil, A., 1998. Calculating Quantile Risk Measures for Financial Time Series Using Extreme Value Theory. Department of Mathematics, ETS. Swiss Federal Technical University E-Collection. <http://e-collection.ethbib.ethz.ch/>

McNeil, A., Frey, R., 2000. Estimation of Tail-Related Risk Measures for Heteroscedastic Financial Time Series: an Extreme Value Approach. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, n° 7, pp. 271-300.

Merton, R.C., 1980. On Estimating the Expected Return on the Market: An Exploratory Investigation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 8, pp. 323-361.

Mittnik, S., Paoletta, M., 2000. Conditional Density and Value-at-Risk Prediction of Asian Currency Exchange Rates. *Journal of Forecasting*, 19, pp. 313-333.

Morgan, JP., 1996. *Riskmetrics Technical Document*, 4th Edn. New York.

- Müller U., Dacorogna M., Davé R., Olsen R., Pictet J. and Weizsäcker J. (1997). Volatilities of Different Time Resolutions. Analyzing the Dynamics of Market Components. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, n° 4, pp 213-239.
- Nelson, D., 1991. Conditional Heteroskedasticity in Asset Returns: a New Approach. *Econometrica*, Vol. 59, n° 2, pp. 347-370.
- Nozari, M., Raei, S., Jahanguin, P., Bahramgiri, M., 2010. A Comparison of Heavy-tailed Estimates and Filtered Historical Simulation: Evidence from Emerging Markets, *International Review of Business Papers*, 6-4 (2010), pp. 347-359.
- Ñíguez, T., 2008. Volatility and VaR Forecasting in the Madrid Stock Exchange, *Spanish Economic Review*, 10, pp. 169-196.
- Pagan, A., Schwert, G., 1990. Alternative Models for Conditional Stock Volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, 45, pp. 267-290.
- Patton, A., Sheppard, K., 2009. Evaluating Volatility and Correlation forecasting. In: Andersen T.G., Davis R.A. Kreiss J.-P. Mikosch T. (Eds.). *The Handbook of Financial Time Series*. Springer Verlag.
- Polanski, A., Stoja, E., 2009. Dynamics Density Forecasts for Multivariate Asset Returns. Department of Economics. University of Bristol, Discussion Paper n° 09/616. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1655767> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1655767>.
- Polanski, A., Stoja, E., 2010. Incorporating Higher Moments into Value-at-Risk Forecasting. *Journal of Forecasting*, Vol.29, n° 6, pp. 523-535.
- Pong, S., Shakelton, M., Taylor, S., Xu, X., 2004. Forecasting Currency Volatility: A Comparison of Implied Volatilities and AR(FI)MA Models. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 28, pp 2541-2563.
- Poon, S., Granger, C., 2003. Forecasting Volatility in Financial Markets: a Review. *Journal of Economic Literature*, Vol. 41, n° 2, pp. 478-539.
- Pritsker, M., 1997. Evaluating Value at Risk Methodologies: Accuracy versus Computational Time. *Journal of Financial Services Research*. Vol. 12, n° 2-3, pp. 201-242.
- Pritsker, M., 2001. The Hidden Dangers of Historical Simulation. Board of Governors the Federal Reserve System (U.S.), Finance and Economics Discussion Series: 2001-2027.
- Raaji, G., Raunig, B., 1998. A Comparison of Value at Risk Approaches and their Implications for Regulators. *Focus on Austria*, 4, pp.57-71.
- Ren, F., Giles, D., 2007. Extreme Value Analysis of Daily Canadian Crude Oil. *Econometrics Working Paper EWP0708* ISSN 1485-6441.
- Rudemo, M., 1982. Empirical Choice of Histograms and Kernel Density Estimators. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, Vol. 9, n° 2, pp 65-78.
- Sajjad, R., Coakley, J., Nankervis, J., 2008. Markov-Switching GARCH Modelling of Value-at-Risk. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics*, Vol. 12, n° 3, Art. 7.
- Scaillet, O., 2004. Nonparametric Estimation and Sensitivity Analysis of Expected Shortfall. *Mathematical Finance*, 14, 1, pp. 115-129.
- Schwert, G., 1989. Why does Stock Market Volatility Change over Time? *Journal of Finance*, 44, pp. 1115-1153.
- Sentana, E., 1995. Quadratic ARCH Models. *Review of Economic Studies*, 62, pp. 639-661.
- Sheather, S., Marron, J., 1990. Kernel Quantile Estimator. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 85, pp.410-416.

Shephard, N., 1993. Fitting Nonlinear Time-series Models with Applications to Stochastic Variance Models. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. Vol.8, n° 1, pp. 135-152.

Shephard, N., 1994. Local Scale Models: State Space Alternative to Integrated GARCH Processes. *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 60, n° 1-2, pp. 181-202.

Shephard, N., 1996. Statistical Aspects of ARCH and Stochastic Volatility Models. In D.R. Cox, D.V. Hinkley and O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen (eds.) *Time Series Models in Econometrics, Finance and Other Fields*, 1-97. London: Chapman & Hall.

Silva, A., Melo, B., 2003. Value-at-risk and Extreme Returns in Asian Stock Markets. *International Journal of Business*, 8(1), pp. 17-40.

Silverman, B., 1986. *Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis*. London: Chapman and Hall.

Smithson, C., Minton, L., 1997. *The Right VaR*. GRAYLING, S. Ed. *VaR: Understanding and Applying Value-at-Risk*. KPMG Risk Publications.

Srinivasan, A., Shah, A., 2001. Improved Techniques for Using Monte Carlo in VaR estimation. National Stock Exchange Research Initiative, Working Paper

So, M., Yu, P., 2006. Empirical Analysis of GARCH Models in Value at Risk Estimation. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*, 16, pp. 180-197.

So, M., Lam, K., Li, W., 1997a. An Empirical Study of Volatility in Seven Southeast Asian Stock Markets Using ARV Models. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 24, n° 2, pp. 261-275.

So, M., Lam, K., Li, W., 1997b. Multivariate Modelling of the Autoregressive Random Variance Process. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, Vol. 18, n° 4 pp. 429-446.

So, M., Li, W., Lam, K., 2002. A Threshold Stochastic Volatility Model. *Journal of Forecasting*, 21, pp. 473-500.

Taylor, S., 1982. Financial Returns Modeled by the Product of two Stochastic Processes – a Study of Daily Sugar Prices. *Time Series Analysis: Theory and Practice 1*, Ed. O. D. Anderson, North Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 203-226.

Taylor, S., 1986. *Modeling Financial Time Series*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons.

Taylor, S., 1992. The Role of Time Series Analysis in Studies of Accounting Policy Choice: A Comment', *Accounting and Finance*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 51-56.

Taylor, S., 1994. Modelling Stochastic Volatility: A Review and Comparative Study. *Mathematical Finance*, 4, pp. 653-667.

Taylor, S., Xu, X., 1997. The Incremental Volatility Information in One Million Foreign Exchange Quotations. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, n°4, pp.317-340.

Theodossiou, P., 1998. Financial Data and Skewed Generalized t Distribution. *Management Science*, 44, pp. 1650-1661.

Theodossiou, P., 2001. Skewness and Kurtosis in Financial Data and the Pricing of Options. Working Paper. Rutgers University

Theodossiou, P., Trigeorgis, L., 2003. Option Pricing when Log-returns are Skewed and Leptokurtic. Technical report, School of Economics and Management, University of Cyprus.

Titterton, D., Smith, A., Makov, U., 1985. *Statistical Analysis of Finite of Mixture Distributions*. John Willey & Son Ltd.

- Tolikas, K., Koulakiotis, A., and Brown, R., 2007. Extreme Risk and Value-at-Risk in the German Stock Market. *The European Journal of Finance*. Vol. 13, n° 4, pp. 373-395.
- Trenca, I., 2009. The Use in Banks of VaR Method in Market Risk Management. *Scientific Annals of the "Alexandru Ioan Cuza", University of Iasi: Economic Sciences Series*. Vol. 2009, n° 51, pp. 186-196.
- Velayoudoum, M., Raggad B., and Trabelsi, A., 2009. Extreme Value Theory and Value at Risk: Application to oil market. *Energy Economics*, 31, pp. 519-530.
- Vlaar, P., Palm, F., 1993. The Message in Weekly Exchange Rates in the European Monetary System: Mean Reversion, Conditional Heteroscedasticity and Jumps. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 11, pp. 351-360.
- Wong, C., Li, W., 2001. On a Mixture Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedastic Model. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. Vol. 96, n° 455, pp- 982-995
- Wu, C., Lee, J., 2007. Estimation of a Utility-based Asset Pricing Model Using Normal Mixture GARCH(1,1). *Economic Modelling*, 24, pp. 329-349.
- Xu, D., Wirjanto, T., 2010. An Empirical Characteristic Function Approach to VaR under a Mixture-of-Normal Distribution with Time-Varying Volatility. *Journal of Derivates*, Vol. 18, n° 1, pp. 39-58.
- Zakořan, J., 1994. Threshold heteroskedastic models. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 18, n° 9, pp. 931-955.
- Ze-To, S.Y.M. (2008). Value at Risk and Conditional Extreme Value Theory via Markov Regime Switching Models. *The Journal of Futures Markets*. Vol. 28, n° 2, pp 155-181.
- Zenti, R., Pallotta, M., 2001. Risk Analysis for Asset Manager: Historical Scenarios Based Methods and the Bootstrap Approach. Mineo. RAS Asset Management, Milan, Italia.
- Zhang, M.H., Cheng, Q.S., 2005. An Approach to VaR for Capital Markets with Gaussian Mixture. *Applied Mathematics and Computations*. Vol. 168, pp 1079-1085.
- Zhang, L., Mykland, P., Ait-Sahalia, Y., 2005. A Tale of Two Time Scales: Determining Integrated Volatility with Noisy High-frequency Data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 100, pp. 1398-1411.
- Zikovic, S., Aktan, B., 2009. Global Financial Crisis and VaR Performance in Emerging Markets: A case of EU candidate states-Turkey and Croatia, *Proceedings of Rijeka Faculty of Economics*. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 27-1, pp. 149-170.

# FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

---

## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

### Últimos números publicados

- 159/2000 Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje  
Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
- 160/2000 Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del *Value at Risk*  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 161/2000 Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spanish case before and after the tax reform  
Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
- 162/2000 Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence  
F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
- 163/2000 El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites  
riesgo neutral  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 164/2001 La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90  
Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 165/2001 Bank cost efficiency and output specification  
Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 166/2001 Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality  
Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
- 167/2001 Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en  
el nuevo IRPF  
Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
- 168/2001 The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro-  
pean Countries  
Mónica Melle-Hernández
- 169/2001 La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España  
Ismael Sanz Labrador
- 170/2002 Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 171/2002 Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas  
de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
- 172/2002 La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad  
y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto.  
Llorenç Pou, Joaquín Alegre
- 173/2002 Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de crédito.  
Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

- 174/2002 Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas.  
José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
- 175/2003 La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos.  
Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
- 176/2003 The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey  
Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
- 177/2003 Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
- 178/2003 Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union.  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
- 179/2003 Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 180/2003 Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co-operative & Savings Banks.  
Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
- 181/2003 The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 182/2004 In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition.  
Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
- 183/2004 Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.  
M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles García Valiñas
- 184/2004 Estimación de la economía sumergida en España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes.  
Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
- 185/2004 Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción.  
Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
- 186/2004 Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model.  
Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
- 187/2004 El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español.  
Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
- 188/2004 Does market competition make banks perform well?.  
Mónica Melle
- 189/2004 Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

- 190/2004 Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en España: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos  
F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
- 191/2004 Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis  
Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
- 192/2004 Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs)  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
- 193/2004 Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp index for Mexico  
Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
- 194/2004 Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles  
Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
- 195/2005 El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada  
Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
- 196/2005 La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva  
David Cantarero Prieto
- 197/2005 A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy  
José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
- 198/2005 ¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?  
Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
- 199/2005 Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social  
M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
- 200/2005 Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
- 201/2005 Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
- 202/2005 Contractual complexity in strategic alliances  
Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
- 203/2005 Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa  
Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 204/2005 Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series  
Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

- 205/2005 Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España  
Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
- 206/2005 Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis  
Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
- 207/2005 Productivity growth in European banking  
Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 208/2005 Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
- 209/2005 La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia.  
Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 210/2005 Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español.  
Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
- 211/2005 Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries  
José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
- 212/2005 The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence?  
Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
- 213/2005 The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks  
Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 214/2005 Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs  
Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
- 215/2005 La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa  
Marta Rahona López
- 216/2005 The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis  
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 217/2005 On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 218/2005 La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España  
Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
- 219/2005 Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables  
Francisco González
- 220/2005 Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance  
David Abad Díaz



- 221/2005 Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español  
Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
- 222/2005 Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faiña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
- 223/2005 Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing  
Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
- 224/2005 The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs  
José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faiña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 225/2005 The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence  
Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
- 226/2005 Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 227/2005 Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes  
Alejandro Estellér Moré
- 228/2005 Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission  
Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
- 229/2005 Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
- 230/2005 On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks  
Alfonso Palacio-Vera
- 231/2005 Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal  
M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
- 232/2005 Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
- 233/2005 Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives.  
Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
- 234/2006 Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings.  
Víctor M. González
- 235/2006 Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques.  
Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
- 236/2006 Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy.  
Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

- 237/2006 Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints.  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
- 238/2006 Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
- 239/2006 The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach.  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
- 240/2006 La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes.  
Francisco García Pérez.
- 241/2006 Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo.  
Inés P. Murillo.
- 242/2006 Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency.  
Manuel A. Gómez.
- 243/2006 Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.  
Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
- 244/2006 Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 245/2006 Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp.  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
- 246/2006 Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology.  
Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
- 247/2006 Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance With additive Outliers And Measurement Errors.  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 248/2006 The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency.  
Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 249/2006 Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000).  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
- 250/2006 Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?.  
Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faña
- 251/2006 Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultaneizar la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público.  
Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
- 252/2006 "The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?".  
Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
- 253/2006 Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita.  
Jordi Perdiguero García

- 254/2006 Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente.  
José M. Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
- 255/2006 Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.  
Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
- 256/2006 Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain.  
José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
- 257/2006 La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico.  
José López Rodríguez
- 258/2006 Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004.  
María Martínez Torres
- 259/2006 Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking.  
Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
- 260/2006 Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española.  
Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
- 261/2006 Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement.  
José Antonio Ortega.
- 262/2006 Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol.  
José M<sup>a</sup> Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
- 263/2006 Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas.  
Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
- 264/2006 Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa.  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
- 265/2006 Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000).  
Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
- 266/2006 Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming.  
Paolo Rungo.
- 267/2006 Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias.  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
- 268/2006 El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía.  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
- 269/2006 Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 270/2006 Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP  
Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

- 271/2006 Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union  
A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 272/2006 Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español  
Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
- 273/2006 Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín
- 274/2006 Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link  
Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 275/2006 Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain  
Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
- 276/2006 Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age  
Antonio Cubel and M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis
- 277/2006 Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 278/2006 El consumo y la valoración de activos  
Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
- 279/2006 Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach  
David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
- 280/2006 Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain  
María Arrazola y José de Hevia
- 281/2006 Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs  
Antoni Cunyat
- 282/2006 La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918  
Alberte Martínez López
- 283/2006 Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década.  
Constantino García Ramos
- 284/2006 Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925  
Alberte Martínez López
- 285/2006 Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 286/2006 The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names  
Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
- 287/2006 Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium  
Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
- 288/2006 Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme  
Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

- 289/2006 Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
- 290/2006 La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 291/2006 Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
- 292/2006 Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 293/2006 Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
- 294/2006 Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
- 295/2006 Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures  
Mariano Matilla-García
- 296/2006 Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W  
Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodríguez-Valez
- 297/2006 Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 298/2006 La situación actual de la banca online en España  
Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
- 299/2006 Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas  
Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
- 300/2006 A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio  
Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
- 301/2007 Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
- 302/2007 Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 303/2007 ¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 304/2007 On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 305/2007 Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

- 306/2007 Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework  
Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
- 307/2007 La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro  
Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
- 308/2007 Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 309/2007 Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors  
Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iraizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
- 310/2007 Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain  
María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
- 311/2007 Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 312/2007 Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 313/2007 The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock  
Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
- 314/2007 Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 315/2007 ¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español  
Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
- 316/2007 The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 317/2007 Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto  
Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
- 318/2007 Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography  
Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
- 319/2007 El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas  
Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
- 320/2007 Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 321/2007 Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado  
Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
- 322/2007 Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study  
M<sup>a</sup> Concepción López Fernández, Ana M<sup>a</sup> Serrano Bedía and Gema García Piqueres

- 323/2007 Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935.  
Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
- 324/2007 New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.  
J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
- 325/2007 Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates.  
Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
- 326/2007 The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion.  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
- 327/2007 Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.  
J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
- 328/2007 El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15  
Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Herrador
- 329/2007 The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform  
Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
- 330/2007 Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms  
Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
- 331/2007 ¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas  
Almudena Martínez Campillo
- 332/2007 Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets  
Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
- 333/2007 Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales  
Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
- 334/2007 Why do companies go private? The Spanish case  
Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 335/2007 The use of gis to study transport for disabled people  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 336/2007 The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application  
Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
- 337/2007 Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 338/2007 Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT  
Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 339/2007 Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values  
Celia Bilbao-Terol
- 340/2007 Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data  
Victor M. González and Francisco González

- 341/2007 ¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno  
Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
- 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico “Agencia-  
Stewardship”  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
- 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal pro-  
gramming approach from fuzzy betas  
Enrique Ballester, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
- 344/2007 “El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar”  
Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
- 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and  
Knowledge View of The Firm.  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español  
Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
- 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply  
Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
- 348/2007 Auditors’ ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation  
Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
- 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004  
Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective pro-  
gramming  
Blanca M<sup>a</sup> Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M<sup>a</sup> Victoria Rodríguez-  
Uría
- 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por  
devengo  
Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
- 352/2007 Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium  
Approach  
Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
- 353/2007 Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa  
Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
- 354/2007 Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 355/2007 Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España  
Ana Carrera Poncela
- 356/2007 Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices  
Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 357/2007 La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público?  
Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja



- 358/2007 Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction  
Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
- 359/2007 Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market  
José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
- 360/2007 Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados  
Begoña Font-Belaire
- 361/2008 Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973  
M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
- 362/2008 El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas  
Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Fera Domínguez
- 363/2008 The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy  
Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
- 364/2008 Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain  
Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
- 365/2008 Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations  
Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
- 366/2008 Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 367/2008 Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas  
Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
- 368/2008 Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias  
Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
- 369/2008 Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005  
Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 370/2008 El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas  
María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
- 371/2008 El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución  
Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
- 372/2008 Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence  
Víctor M. González and Francisco González
- 373/2008 Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política  
M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
- 374/2008 Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

- 375/2008 A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services  
Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 376/2008 Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions  
Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
- 377/2008 Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia?  
María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
- 378/2008 Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional  
Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
- 379/2008 A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy  
Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
- 380/2008 Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain  
Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 381/2008 Multivariate gram-charlier densities  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez and Javier Perote
- 382/2008 Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain  
Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
- 383/2008 A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rodríguez Fernandez
- 384/2008 A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library  
M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
- 385/2008 Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmacéuticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
- 386/2008 El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales  
M<sup>a</sup> Leticia Santos Vijande, M<sup>a</sup> José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
- 387/2008 Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain  
David Abad y Belén Nieto
- 388/2008 Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
- 389/2008 An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance  
Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
- 390/2008 Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave  
Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

- 391/2008 La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905  
Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
- 392/2008 Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios  
Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
- 393/2008 Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 394/2008 Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas  
Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
- 395/2008 Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach  
Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
- 396/2008 Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
- 397/2008 Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach  
Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
- 398/2008 Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 399/2008 Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits  
Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
- 400/2008 The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity  
José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
- 401/2008 The institutional determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
- 402/2008 Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo  
José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
- 403/2008 Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España  
M<sup>a</sup> del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y M<sup>a</sup> de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
- 404/2008 Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
- 405/2008 Política fiscal en la ue: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos?  
Jorge Uxó González y M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Arroyo Fernández
- 406/2008 Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas  
Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
- 407/2008 La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea  
Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

- 408/2008 The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis  
Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
- 409/2008 Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort  
Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
- 410/2008 Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models  
Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
- 411/2008 Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
- 412/2008 Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio  
Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
- 413/2008 Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision  
José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
- 414/2008 Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria  
Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
- 415/2008 Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
- 416/2008 Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance  
Alberto López
- 417/2008 Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems  
Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
- 418/2008 Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel  
Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
- 419/2008 Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos  
Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
- 420/2008 The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 421/2008 How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 422/2008 Analysing health limitations in spain: an empirical approach based on the european community household panel  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

- 423/2008 Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain  
Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
- 424/2008 Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999).  
José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
- 425/2008 Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas  
Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
- 426/2008 Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional  
Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
- 427/2008 Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 428/2008 Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960  
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
- 429/2008 La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
- 430/2008 Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
- 431/2008 Euro, firm size and export behavior  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 432/2008 Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies?  
Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
- 433/2008 Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
- 434/2008 Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets  
Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 435/2008 La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
- 436/2008 ¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional  
Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
- 437/2009 Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
- 438/2009 Friendly or Controlling Boards?  
Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

- 439/2009 La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX  
Amparo Ruiz Llopis
- 440/2009 Continental bias in trade  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
- 441/2009 Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking  
Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Fera-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
- 442/2009 Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 443/2009 Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 444/2009 Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA.  
Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
- 445/2009 Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 446/2009 El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España  
Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
- 447/2009 Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 448/2009 Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15  
T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
- 449/2009 Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects  
Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
- 450/2009 Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables  
Isabel Abinzano & Javier F. Navas
- 451/2009 Information technologies and financial performance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
- 452/2009 A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges  
Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
- 453/2009 Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties?  
Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
- 454/2009 Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry  
José Antonio Belso-Martínez

- 455/2009 Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
- 456/2009 Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application  
Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
- 457/2009 Cash conversion cycle in smes  
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 458/2009 Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos  
Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
- 459/2009 Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 460/2009 Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax  
José M<sup>a</sup> Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 461/2009 ¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka  
Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro□, José Luis Pérez Rivero□ y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo□
- 462/2009 Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services  
Manuel A. Muñiz□ & José L. Zafra□□
- 463/2009 Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison  
Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
- 464/2009 A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions  
Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
- 465/2009 La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión  
Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
- 466/2009 Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 467/2009 The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
- 468/2009 Measuring international reference-cycles  
Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 469/2009 Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities  
Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
- 470/2009 ¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo?  
Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 471/2009 Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

- 472/2009 On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 473/2009 Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance  
Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 474/2009 La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993-2007): un análisis de datos de panel  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y M<sup>a</sup> del Pilar Sierra Fernández
- 475/2009 Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth?  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis
- 476/2009 Incertidumbre externa y elección del modo de entrada en el marco de la inversión directa en el exterior  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 477/2009 Testing for structural breaks in factor loadings: an application to international business cycle  
José Luis Cendejas Bueno, Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 478/2009 ¿Esconde la rigidez de precios la existencia de colusión? El caso del mercado de carburantes en las Islas Canarias  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
- 479/2009 The poni test with structural breaks  
Antonio Aznar & María-Isabel Ayuda
- 480/2009 Accuracy and reliability of Spanish regional accounts (CRE-95)  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 481/2009 Estimating regional variations of R&D effects on productivity growth by entropy econometrics  
Esteban Fernández-Vázquez y Fernando Rubiera-Morollón
- 482/2009 Why do local governments privatize the provision of water services? Empirical evidence from Spain  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Jorge Guardiola
- 483/2009 Assessing the regional digital divide across the European Union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López
- 484/2009 Measuring educational efficiency and its determinants in Spain with parametric distance functions  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Daniel Santín González
- 485/2009 Spatial analysis of public employment services in the Spanish provinces  
Patricia Suárez Cano & Matías Mayor Fernández
- 486/2009 Trade effects of continental and intercontinental preferential trade agreements  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 487/2009 Testing the accuracy of DEA for measuring efficiency in education under endogeneity  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 488/2009 Measuring efficiency in primary health care: the effect of exogenous variables on results  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Luis R. Murillo Zamorano



- 489/2009 Capital structure determinants in growth firms accessing venture funding  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
- 490/2009 Determinants of debt maturity structure across firm size  
Victor M. González
- 491/2009 Análisis del efecto de la aplicación de las NIIF en la valoración de las salidas a bolsa  
Susana Álvarez Otero y Eduardo Rodríguez Enríquez
- 492/2009 An analysis of urban size and territorial location effects on employment probabilities: the spanish case  
Ana Viñuela-Jiménez, Fernando Rubiera-Morollón & Begoña Cueto
- 493/2010 Determinantes de la estructura de los consejos de administración en España  
Isabel Acero Fraile□ y Nuria Alcalde Fradejas
- 494/2010 Performance and completeness in repeated inter-firm relationships: the case of franchising  
Vanessa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz
- 495/2010 A Revenue-Based Frontier Measure of Banking Competition  
Santiago Carbó, David Humphrey & Francisco Rodríguez
- 496/2010 Categorical segregation in social networks  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 497/2010 Beneficios ambientales no comerciales de la directiva marco del agua en condiciones de escasez: análisis económico para el Guadalquivir  
Julia Martin-Ortega, Giacomo Giannoccaro y Julio Berbel Vecino
- 498/2010 Monetary integration and risk diversification in eu-15 sovereign debt markets  
Juncal Cuñado & Marta Gómez-Puig
- 499/2010 The Marshall Plan and the Spanish autarky: A welfare loss analysis  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego
- 500/2010 The role of learning in firm R&D persistence  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina-Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis-Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
- 501/2010 Is venture capital more than just money?  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Nina Zieling
- 502/2010 On the effects of supply strategy on business performance: do the relationships among generic competitive objectives matter?  
Javier González-Benito
- 503/2010 Corporate cash holding and firm value  
Cristina Martínez-Sola, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 504/2010 El impuesto de flujos de caja de sociedades: una propuesta de base imponible y su aproximación contable en España  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Joaquín Teixeira Quirós
- 505/2010 The effect of technological, commercial and human resources on the use of new technology  
Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas

- 506/2010 ¿Cómo ha afectado la fiscalidad a la rentabilidad de la inversión en vivienda en España?  
Un análisis para el periodo 1996 y 2007  
Jorge Onrubia Fernández y María del Carmen Rodado Ruiz
- 507/2010 Modelización de flujos en el análisis input-output a partir de la teoría de redes  
Ana Salomé García Muñiz
- 508/2010 Export-led-growth hypothesis revisited. a balance of payments approach for Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude
- 509/2010 Realised hedge ratio properties, performance and implications for risk management: evidence from the spanish ibex 35 spot and futures markets  
David G McMillan & Raquel Quiroga García
- 510/2010 Do we sack the manager... or is it better not to? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Miguel Á. García-Rubio
- 511/2010 Have Spanish port sector reforms during the last two decades been successful? A cost frontier approach  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez & Beatriz Tovar
- 512/2010 Size & Regional Distribution of Financial Behavior Patterns in Spain  
Juan Antonio Maroto Acín, Pablo García Estévez & Salvador Roji Ferrari
- 513/2010 The impact of public reforms on the productivity of the Spanish ports: a parametric distance function approach  
Ramón Núñez-Sánchez & Pablo Coto-Millán
- 514/2010 Trade policy versus institutional trade barriers: an application using “good old” ols  
Laura Márquez-Ramos, Inmaculada Martínez-Zarzoso & Celestino Suárez-Burguet
- 515/2010 The “Double Market” approach in venture capital and private equity activity: the case of Europe  
Marina Balboa & José Martí
- 516/2010 International accounting differences and earnings smoothing in the banking industry  
Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
- 517/2010 Convergence in car prices among European countries  
Simón Sosvilla-Rivero & Salvador Gil-Pareja
- 518/2010 Effects of process and product-oriented innovations on employee downsizing  
José David Vicente-Lorente & José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente
- 519/2010 Inequality, the politics of redistribution and the tax-mix  
Jenny De Freitas
- 520/2010 Efectos del desajuste educativo sobre el rendimiento privado de la educación: un análisis para el caso español (1995-2006)  
Inés P. Murillo, Marta Rahona y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Salinas
- 521/2010 Structural breaks and real convergence in opec countries  
Juncal Cuñado
- 522/2010 Human Capital, Geographical location and Policy Implications: The case of Romania  
Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Andres Faiña y Bolea Cosmin-Gabriel

- 523/2010 Organizational unlearning context fostering learning for customer capital through time: lessons from SMEs in the telecommunications industry  
Anthony K. P. Wensley, Antonio Leal-Millán, Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión & Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro
- 524/2010 The governance threshold in international trade flows  
Marta Felis-Rota
- 525/2010 The intensive and extensive margins of trade decomposing exports growth differences across Spanish regions  
Asier Minondo Uribe-Etxeberria & Francisco Requena Silvente
- 526/2010 Why do firms locate r&d outsourcing agreements offshore? the role of ownership, location, and externalization advantages  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro f. Guillén
- 527/2010 Corporate Taxation and the Productivity and Investment Performance of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from OECD Firm-Level Data  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller, Ismael Sanz & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 528/2010 Modelling Personal Income Taxation in Spain: Revenue Elasticities and Regional Comparisons  
John Creedy & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 529/2010 Mind the Remoteness!. Income disparities across Japanese Prefectures  
Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Daisuke Nakamura
- 530/2010 El nuevo sistema de financiación autonómica: descripción, estimación empírica y evaluación  
Antoni Zabalza y Julio López Laborda
- 531/2010 Markups, bargaining power and offshoring: an empirical assessment  
Lourdes Moreno & Diego Rodríguez
- 532/2010 The snp-dcc model: a new methodology for risk management and forecasting  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez & Javier Perote
- 533/2010 El uso del cuadro de mando integral y del presupuesto en la gestión estratégica de los hospitales públicos  
David Naranjo Gil
- 534/2010 Análisis de la efectividad de las prácticas de trabajo de alta implicación en las fábricas españolas  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo□□ y Lucía Avella Camarero
- 535/2010 Energía, innovación y transporte: la electrificación de los tranvías en España, 1896-1935  
Alberte Martínez López
- 536/2010 La ciudad como negocio: gas y empresa en una región española, Galicia 1850-1936  
Alberte Martínez López y Jesús Mirás Araujo
- 537/2010 To anticipate or not to anticipate? A comparative analysis of opportunistic early elections and incumbents' economic performance  
Pedro Riera Sagrera
- 538/2010 The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy  
Ana Gómez-Loscós, Antonio Montañés & María Dolores Gadea

- 539/2010 The efficiency of public and publicly-subsidized high schools in Spain. evidence from pisa-2006  
María Jesús Mancebón, Jorge Calero, Álvaro Choi & Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún
- 540/2010 Regulation as a way to force innovation: the biodiesel case  
Jordi Perdiguero & Juan Luis Jiménez
- 541/2010 Pricing strategies of Spanish network carrier  
Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Jordi Perdiguero
- 542/2010 Papel del posicionamiento del distribuidor en la relación entre la marca de distribuidor y lealtad al establecimiento comercial  
Oscar González-Benito y Mercedes Martos-Partal
- 543/2010 How Bank Market Concentration, Regulation, and Institutions Shape the Real Effects of Banking Crises  
Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez
- 544/2010 Una estimación del comercio interregional trimestral de bienes en España mediante técnicas de interpolación temporal  
Nuria Gallego López, Carlos Llano Verduras y Julián Pérez García
- 545/2010 Puerto, empresas y ciudad: una aproximación histórica al caso de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria  
Miguel Suárez, Juan Luis Jiménez y Daniel Castillo
- 546/2010 Multinationals in the motor vehicles industry: a general equilibrium analysis for a transition economy  
Concepción Latorre & Antonio G. Gómez-Plana
- 547/2010 Core/periphery scientific collaboration networks among very similar researchers  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 548/2010 Basic R&D in vertical markets  
Miguel González-Maestre & Luis M. Granero
- 549/2010 Factores condicionantes de la presión fiscal de las entidades de crédito españolas, ¿existen diferencias entre bancos y cajas de ahorros?  
Ana Rosa Fonseca Díaz, Elena Fernández Rodríguez y Antonio Martínez Arias
- 550/2010 Analyzing an absorptive capacity: Unlearning context and Information System Capabilities as catalysts for innovativeness  
Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión, Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro & Daniel Jimenez-Jimenez
- 551/2010 The resolution of banking crises and market discipline: international evidence  
Elena Cubillas, Ana Rosa Fonseca & Francisco González
- 552/2010 A strategic approach to network value in information markets  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 553/2010 Accounting for the time pattern of remittances in the Spanish context  
Alfonso Echazarra
- 554/2010 How to design franchise contracts: the role of contractual hazards and experience  
Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz

- 555/2010 Una teoría integradora de la función de producción al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 556/2010 Height and economic development in Spain, 1850-1958  
Ramón María-Dolores & José Miguel Martínez-Carrión
- 557/2010 Why do entrepreneurs use franchising as a financial tool? An agency explanation  
Manuel González-Díaz & Vanesa Solís-Rodríguez
- 558/2010 Explanatory Factors of Urban Water Leakage Rates in Southern Spain  
Francisco González-Gómez, Roberto Martínez-Espiñeira, Maria A. García-Valiñas & Miguel Á. García Rubio
- 559/2010 Los rankings internacionales de las instituciones de educación superior y las clasificaciones universitarias en España: visión panorámica y prospectiva de futuro.  
Carmen Pérez-Esparrells y José M<sup>a</sup> Gómez-Sancho.
- 560/2010 Análisis de los determinantes de la transparencia fiscal: Evidencia empírica para los municipios catalanes  
Alejandro Esteller Moré y José Polo Otero
- 561/2010 Diversidad lingüística e inversión exterior: el papel de las barreras lingüísticas en los procesos de adquisición internacional  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 562/2010 Costes y beneficios de la competencia fiscal en la Unión Europea y en la España de las autonomías  
José M<sup>a</sup> Cantos, Agustín García Rico, M<sup>a</sup> Gabriela Lagos Rodríguez y Raquel Álamo Cerrillo
- 563/2010 Customer base management and profitability in information technology industries  
Juan Pablo Maicas y Francisco Javier Sese
- 564/2010 Expansión internacional y distancia cultural: distintas aproximaciones —hofstede, schwartz, globe  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 565/2010 Economies of scale and scope in service firms with demand uncertainty: An application to a Spanish port  
Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 566/2010 Fiscalidad y elección entre renta vitalicia y capital único por los inversores en planes de pensiones: el caso de España  
Félix Domínguez Barrero y Julio López Laborda
- 567/2010 Did the cooperative start life as a joint-stock company? Business law and cooperatives in Spain, 1869–1931  
Timothy W. Guinnan & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez
- 568/2010 Predicting bankruptcy using neural networks in the current financial crisis: a study for US commercial banks  
Félix J. López-Iturriaga, Óscar López-de-Foronda & Iván Pastor Sanz
- 569/2010 Financiación de los cuidados de larga duración en España  
Raúl del Pozo Rubio y Francisco Escribano Sotos

- 570/2010 Is the Border Effect an Artefact of Geographic Aggregation?  
Carlos Llano-Verduras, Asier Minondo-Urbe & Francisco Requena-Silvente
- 571/2010 Notes on using the hidden asset or the contribution asset to compile the actuarial balance for pay-as-you-go pension systems  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá & María del Carmen Boado-Penas
- 572/2010 The Real Effects of Banking Crises: Finance or Asset Allocation Effects? Some International Evidence  
Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez Carlos
- 573/2010 Endogenous mergers of complements with mixed bundling  
Ricardo Flores-Fillol & Rafael Moner-Colonques
- 574/2010 Redistributive Conflicts and Preferences for Tax Schemes in Europe  
Antonio M. Jaime-Castillo & Jose L. Saez-Lozano
- 575/2010 Spanish emigration and the setting-up of a great company in Mexico: bimbo, 1903-2008  
Javier Moreno Lázaro
- 576/2010 Mantenimiento temporal de la equidad horizontal en el sistema de financiación autonómica  
Julio López Laborda y Antoni Zabalza
- 577/2010 Sobreeducación, Educación no formal y Salarios: Evidencia para España  
Sandra Nieto y Raúl Ramos
- 578/2010 Dependencia y empleo: un análisis empírico con la encuesta de discapacidades y atención a la dependencia (edad) 2008.  
David Cantarero-Prieto y Patricia Moreno-Mencía
- 579/2011 Environment and happiness: new evidence for Spain  
Juncal Cuñado & Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 580/2011 Aanalysis of emerging barriers for e-learning models. a case of study  
Nuria Calvo & Paolo Rungo
- 581/2011 Unemployment, cycle and gender  
Amado Peiró, Jorge Belaire-Franch, & Maria Teresa Gonzalo
- 582/2011 An Analytical Regions Proposal for the Study of Labour Markets: An Evaluation for the Spanish Territory  
Ana Viñuela Jiménez & Fernando Rubiera Morollón
- 583/2011 The Efficiency of Performance-based-fee Funds  
Ana C. Díaz-Mendoza, Germán López-Espinosa & Miguel A. Martínez-Sedano
- 584/2011 Green and good?. The investment performance of US environmental mutual funds  
Francisco J. Climent-Diranzo & Pilar Soriano-Felipe
- 585/2011 El fracaso de Copenhague desde la teoría de juegos.  
Yolanda Fernández Fernández, M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles Fernández López y Blanca Olmedillas Blanco
- 586/2011 Tie me up, tie me down! the interplay of the unemployment compensation system, fixed-term contracts and rehiring  
José M. Arranz & Carlos García-Serrano

- 587/2011 Corporate social performance, innovation intensity and their impacts on financial performance: evidence from lending decisions  
Andrés Guiral
- 588/2011 Assessment of the programme of measures for coastal lagoon environmental restoration using cost-benefit analysis.  
José Miguel Martínez Paz & Ángel Perni Llorente
- 589/2011 Illicit drug use and labour force participation: a simultaneous equations approach  
Berta Rivera, Bruno Casal, Luis Currais & Paolo Rungo
- 590/2011 Influencia de la propiedad y el control en la puesta en práctica de la rsc en las grandes empresas españolas  
José-Luis Godos-Díez, Roberto Fernández-Gago y Laura Cabeza-García
- 591/2011 Ownership, incentives and hospitals  
Xavier Fageda & Eva Fiz
- 592/2011 La liberalización del ferrocarril de mercancías en europa: ¿éxito o fracaso?  
Daniel Albalade del Sol, Maria Lluïsa Sort García y Universitat de Barcelona
- 593/2011 Do nonreciprocal preference regimes increase exports?  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 594/2011 Towards a dynamic analysis of multiple-store shopping: evidence from Spanish panel data  
Noemí Martínez-Caraballo, Manuel Salvador, Carmen Berné & Pilar Gargallo
- 595/2011 Base imponible y neutralidad del impuesto de sociedades: alternativas y experiencias  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso
- 596/2011 Cambio técnico y modelo de negocio: las compañías de transporte urbano en España, 1871-1989  
Alberte Martínez López
- 597/2011 A modified dickey-fuller procedure to test for stationarity  
Antonio Aznar, María-Isabel Ayuda
- 598/2011 Entorno institucional, estructura de propiedad e inversión en I+D: Un análisis internacional  
Félix J. López Iturriaga y Emilio J. López Millán
- 599/2011 Factores competitivos y oferta potencial del sector lechero en Navarra  
Valero L. Casanovas Oliva y Ana M. Aldanondo Ochoa
- 600/2011 Política aeroportuaria y su impacto sobre la calidad percibida de los aeropuertos  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Ancor Suárez
- 601/2011 Regímenes de tipo de cambio y crecimiento económico en países en desarrollo  
Elena Lasarte Navamuel y José Luis Pérez Rivero
- 602/2011 La supervivencia en las empresas de alta tecnología españolas: análisis del sector investigación y desarrollo  
Evangelina Baltar Salgado, Sara Fernández López, Isabel Neira Gómez y Milagros Vivel Búa
- 603/2011 Análisis económico y de rentabilidad del sistema financiero español, por tipo de entidades y tamaño, después de cuatro años de crisis y ante los retos de la reestructuración financiera  
Salvador Climent Serrano

- 604/2011 Does competition affect the price of water services? Evidence from Spain  
Germà Bel, Francisco González-Gómez & Andrés J Picazo-Tadeo
- 605/2011 The Effects of Remoteness in Japanese Educational Levels  
Jesús López-Rodríguez & Daisuke Nakamura
- 606/2011 The money market under information asymmetries and imperfectly competitive loan and deposit markets  
Aday Hernández
- 607/2011 The effects of airline and high speed train integration  
M. Pilar Socorro & M. Fernanda Viéens
- 608/2011 Consecuencias de la imbricación de los clientes en la dirección medioambiental: un análisis empírico  
Jesús Ángel del Brío González, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Beatriz Junquera Cimadevilla
- 609/2011 Revenue autonomy and regional growth: an analysis for the 25 year-process of fiscal decentralisation in Spain  
Ramiro Gil-Serrate, Julio López-Laborda & Jesús Mur
- 610/2011 The accessibility to employment offices in the Spanish labor market: Implications in terms of registered unemployment  
Patricia Suárez, Matías Mayor & Begoña Cueto
- 611/2011 Time-varying integration in European government bond markets  
Pilar Abad, Helena Chuliá & Marta Gómez-Puig
- 612/2011 Production networks and EU enlargement: is there room for everyone in the automotive industry?  
Leticia Blázquez, Carmen Díaz-Mora & Rosario Gandoy
- 613/2011 Los factores pronóstico económico, estructura productiva y capacidad de innovar en la valoración de activos españoles  
M<sup>a</sup> Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 614/2011 Capital structure adjustment process in firms accessing venture funding  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
- 615/2011 Flexibilidad Contable en la Valoración de Instrumentos Financieros Híbridos  
Jacinto Marabel-Romo, Andrés Guiral-Contreras & José Luis Crespo-Espert
- 616/2011 Why are (or were) Spanish banks so profitable?  
Antonio Trujillo-Ponce
- 617/2011 Extreme value theory versus traditional garch approaches applied to financial data: a comparative evaluation  
Dolores Furió & Francisco J. Climent
- 618/2011 La restricción de balanza de pagos en la España del euro. Un enfoque comparativo.  
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude y Roberto Bande Ramudo
- 619/2011 Is inefficiency under control in the justice administration?  
Marta Espasa & Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 620/2011 The evolving patterns of competition after deregulation  
Jaime Gómez Villascuerna, Raquel Orcos Sánchez & Sergio Palomas Doña



- 621/2011 Análisis pre y post-fusiones del sector compuesto por las cajas de ahorros españolas: el tamaño importa  
Antonio A. Golpe, Jesús Iglesias y Juan Manuel Martín
- 622/2011 Evaluating three proposals for testing independence in non linear spatial processes  
Fernando A. López-Hernández, M. Luz Maté-Sánchez-Val & Andrés Artal-Tur
- 623/2011 Valoración del Mercado de los Activos Éticos en España: una Aplicación del Método de los Precios Hedónicos  
Celia Bilbao-Terol y Verónica Cañal-Fernández
- 624/2011 Happiness beyond Material Needs: The Case of the Mayan People  
Jorge Guardiola, Francisco González-Gómez & Miguel A. García-Rubio
- 625/2011 Stock characteristics, investor type and market myopia  
Cristina Del Rio-Solano & Rafael Santamaria-Aquilué
- 626/2011 Is mistrust under control in the justice administration?  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 627/2011 Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints  
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 628/2011 On the optimal distribution of traffic of network airlines  
Xavier Fageda & Ricardo Flores-Fillol
- 629/2011 Environmental tax and productivity in a subcentral context: new findings on the porter hypothesis  
Jaime Vallés- Giménez & Anabel Zárate-Marco
- 630/2011 The impact of scale effects on the prevailing internet-based banking model in the US  
Alexandre Momparlera, Francisco J. Climentb & José M. Ballesterb
- 631/2011 Student achievement in a cross-country perspective: a multilevel analysis of pisa2006 data for Italy and Spain  
Tommaso Agasisti & Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera
- 632/2011 Banking liberalization and firms' debt structure: International evidence  
Víctor M. González & Francisco González
- 633/2011 Public sector contingent liabilities in Spanish toll roads  
Carlos Contreras
- 634/2011 Fiscal Sustainability and Immigration in the Madrid Region  
Luis Miguel Doncel, Pedro Durá, Pilar Grau-Carles & Jorge Sainz
- 635/2011 Las desviaciones presupuestarias del gasto del estado en el periodo 1990-2009: un análisis desde las perspectivas agregada y de programas.  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 636/2011 A network approach to services internationalization  
Stefano Visintin
- 637/2011 Factors behind the presence of agricultural credit cooperatives in Spain, 1900-1935: an econometric model  
Ángel Pascual Martínez-Soto, Ildefonso Méndez- Martínez & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez.

- 638/2011 La eficiencia técnica en la industria de agua latinoamericana medida a través de la función de distancia  
Angel Higuerey Gómez , Lourdes Trujillo Castellano y María Manuela González Serrano
- 639/2011 Urban Patterns, Population Density and the Cost of Providing Basic Infrastructure: A Frontier Approach  
Inmaculada C. Álvarez, Ángel M. Prieto & José L. Zofío
- 640/2011 A comparison of national vs. multinational firms' performance using a general equilibrium perspective  
María C. Latorre
- 641/2011 A computable general equilibrium evaluation of market performance after the entry of multinationals  
María C. Latorre
- 642/2011 Competition for procurement shares  
José Alcalde & Matthias Dahm
- 643/2011 Air services on thin routes: regional versus low-cost airlines  
Xavier Fageda & Ricardo Flores-Fillol
- 644/2011 Efficiency and Stability in a Strategic Model of Hedonic Coalitions  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 645/2011 An analysis of the cost of disability across Europe using the standard of living approach  
José-Ignacio Antón, Francisco-Javier Braña & Rafael Muñoz de Bustillo
- 646/2011 Estimating the gravity equation with the actual number of exporting firms  
Asier Minondo & Francisco Requena
- 647/2011 New public management-delivery forms, quality levels and political factors on solid management waste costs in Spanish local governments  
José Luis Zafra-Gómez, Diego Prior Jiménez, Ana María Plata Díaz & Antonio M López Hernández
- 648/2011 El sector financiero como factor desestabilizador para la economía a partir del análisis de Hyman Minsky  
Isabel Gimenez Zuriaga
- 649/2011 Determinantes de la prima de riesgo en las emisiones de bonos de titulización hipotecaria en España (1993-2011)  
Miguel Ángel Peña Cerezo, Arturo Rodríguez Castellanos y Francisco Jaime Ibáñez Hernández
- 650/2011 Does complexity explain the structure of trade?  
Asier Minondo & Francisco Requena
- 651/2011 Supplementary pensions and saving: evidence from Spain  
José-Ignacio Antón, Rafael Muñoz de Bustillo & Enrique Fernández-Macías
- 652/2011 The role of destination spatial spillovers and technological intensity in the location of manufacturing and services firms  
Andrés Artal-Tur, José Miguel Navarro-Azorín & María Luisa Alamá-Sabater

- 653/2011 El papel de los márgenes extensivo e intensivo en el crecimiento de las exportaciones manufactureras españolas por sectores tecnológico  
Juan A. Máñez, Francisco Requena-Silvente, María E. Rochina-Barrachina y Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
- 654/2011 Incumbents and institutions: how the value of resources varies across markets  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 655/2011 Price differences between domestic and international air markets: an empirical application to routes from Gran Canaria  
Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Carlos Díaz Santamaría
- 656/2012 The role of accruals quality in the access to bank debt  
Pedro J. García-Teruel, Pedro Martínez-Solano and Juan P. Sánchez-Ballesta
- 657/2012 Trade Under Uncertainty: Legal Institutions Matter  
Lisa Kolovich & Isabel Rodríguez-Tejedo
- 658/2012 La relación bidireccional entre la rsc y el resultado empresarial: conclusiones de un estudio empírico el sector de las cajas de ahorros  
Almudena Martínez Campillo, Laura Cabeza García y Federico Marbella Sánchez
- 659/2012 Consejos de administración y performance de la empresa: efecto de la pertenencia a múltiples consejos  
Félix J. López Iturriaga y Ignacio Morrós Rodríguez
- 660/2012 Análisis comparado de los sistemas eléctricos en España y Argentina, 1890-1950. Estrategias globales y experiencias divergentes de la electrificación en dos países de industrialización tardía  
Isabel Bartolomé y Norma Silvana Lanciotti
- 661/2012 Leverage and corporate performance: International evidence  
Víctor M. González
- 662/2012 Procesos de prociclicidad crediticia e impacto de la provisión estadística en España  
Francisco Jaime Ibáñez Hernández, Miguel Ángel Peña Cerezo y Andrés Araujo de la Mata
- 663/2012 Policy success or economic slowdown?. Effects of the 80 km•h-1 speed limit on air pollution in the Barcelona metropolitan area  
Germà Bel i Queralt & Jordi Rosell i Segura
- 664/2012 Modelos regulatorios en las telecomunicaciones fijas de banda ancha: competencia en redes frente a competencia en servicios. la evidencia empírica en la OCDE y España  
Juan Rubio Martín y César Sánchez Pérez
- 665/2012 Regional export promotion offices and trade margins  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero, José Antonio Martínez-Serrano & Francisco Requena-Silvente
- 666/2012 An Experimental Study of Gender Differences in Distributive Justice  
Ismael Rodríguez-Lara
- 667/2012 Spanish savings banks in the credit crunch: could distress have been predicted before the crisis? A multivariate statistical analysis  
Martí Sagarra, Cecilio Mar-Molinero & Miguel García-Cestona

- 668/2012 Cities to live or to work in: an input-output model of migration and commuting  
Ana Viñuela & Esteban Fernández-Vázquez
- 669/2012 Non-linear Dynamics in Discretionary Accruals: An Analysis of Bank Loan-Loss Provisions  
Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
- 670/2012 Iniciación, persistencia e intensificación en la realización de actividades de I+D en España  
Dolores Añón Higón, Juan A. Máñez and y Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
- 671/2012 La neutralidad financiera en el impuesto sobre sociedades: microsimulación de las opciones de reforma para España  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Fidel Picos Sánchez
- 672/2012 When trains go faster than planes: The strategic reaction of airlines in Spain  
Juan Luis Jiménez and Ofelia Betancor
- 673/2012 Distribución del gasto sanitario público por edad y sexo en España: Análisis de la década 1998-2008  
Ángela Blanco Moreno, Rosa Urbanos Garrido y Israel John Thuissard Vasallo
- 674/2012 Does school ownership matter? An unbiased efficiency comparison for Spain regions  
Eva Crespo-Cebada, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín
- 675/2012 Factores condicionantes de la desigualdad educativa: un análisis para el caso español  
Crespo Cebada, Eva, Díaz Caro, Carlos y Jesús Pérez Mayo
- 676/2012 Integrating network analysis and interregional trade to study the spatial impact of transport infrastructure using production functions  
Inmaculada C. Álvarez-Ayuso, Ana M. Condeço-Melhorado, Javier Gutiérrez y Jose L. Zofío
- 677/2012 An actuarial balance model for DB PAYG pension systems with disability and retirement contingencies  
Manuel Ventura-Marco & Carlos Vidal-Meliá
- 678/2012 Will it last? An assessment of the 2011 Spanish pension reform using the Swedish system as benchmark  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá
- 679/2012 Iniciativas educativas en las universidades mexicanas: un análisis estadístico multivariante  
Martí Sagarra, Cecilio Mar-Molinero & Herberto Rodríguez-Regordosa
- 680/2012 Tributación y política de dividendos de las sociedades no financieras, 2000-2010  
Félix Domínguez Barrero y Julio López Laborda
- 681/2012 Lending relationships and credit rationing: the impact of securitization  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Hans Degryse & Francisco Rodriguez-Fernandez
- 682/2012 Percepciones de los ciudadanos sobre las haciendas regionales: quién es y quién debería ser responsable de los servicios e impuestos autonómicos  
Julio López Laborda y Fernando Rodrigo
- 683/2012 Trade credit, the financial crisis, and firm access to finance  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández & Gregory F. Udell
- 684/2012 Changing market potentials and regional growth in Poland  
Jesús López-Rodríguez & Malgorzata Runiewicz-Wardyn

- 685/2012 Firm boundaries and investments in information technologies in Spanish manufacturing firms  
Jaime Gómez, Idana Salazar & Pilar Vargas
- 686/2012 Movimientos de capital, inserción en el mercado mundial y fluctuaciones financieras de la economía cubana: la bolsa de la habana, 1910-1959  
Javier Moreno Lázaro
- 687/2012 El impacto de la inmigración sobre el crecimiento económico español. un enfoque contable  
Rodrigo Madrazo García de Lomana
- 688/2012 Structural equivalence in the input-output field  
Ana Salomé García Muñiz
- 689/2012 Testing the expectations hypothesis in euro overnight interest swap rates  
Lucía Hernandis & Hipòlit Torró
- 690/2012 Bank asset securitization before the crisis: Liquidity, bank type and risk transfer as determinants  
Martí Sagarra, Miguel García-Cestona & Josep Rialp
- 691/2012 Análisis del riesgo soberano utilizando mapas auto-organizativos. el caso de europa, España y Alemania  
Félix J. López Iturriaga e Iván Pastor Sanz
- 692/2012 Economic forecasting with multivariate models along the business cycle  
Carlos Cuerpo & Pilar Poncela
- 693/2012 Testing opvar accuracy: an empirical back-testing on the loss distribution approach  
José Manuel Feria-Domínguez, Enrique J. Jiménez-Rodríguez & M<sup>a</sup> Paz Rivera-Pérez
- 694/2012 Is the boost in oil prices affecting the appreciation of real exchange rate?: Empirical evidence of “Dutch disease” in Colombia  
Pilar Poncela, Eva Senra & Lya Paola Sierra
- 695/2012 Market efficiency and lead-lag relationships between spot, futures and forward prices: The case of the Iberian Electricity Market (MIBEL)  
Jose María Ballester, Francisco Climent & Dolores Furió
- 696/2012 Complementarities in the innovation strategy: do intangibles play a role in enhancing the impact of r&d on firm performance?  
Dolores Añón, Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas
- 697/2012 The real effects of bank branch deregulation at various stages of economic development: The European experience  
José Manuel Pastor, Lorenzo Serrano & Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 698/2012 Effects of the financial crisis on the european integration process: relevance of exchange rate, inflation and domestic risks  
Alfredo J. Grau-Grau
- 699/2012 Las Preferencias por la Redistribución: Teoría y Evidencia para España  
Julio López Laborda y Eduardo Sanz Arcega
- 700/2012 Firm boundaries and investments in information technologies in spanish manufacturing firms  
Jaime Gómez, Idana Salazar and Pilar Vargas

- 701/2012 Oil Prices and Inflation in the Euro Area and its Main Countries: Germany, France, Italy and Spain  
César Castro, Pilar Poncela and Eva Senra
- 702/2012 Oportunismo y sistemas de gestión medioambiental: las certificaciones como cortina de humo ante los grupos de interés  
Gustavo Lannelongue Nieto y Javier González Benito
- 703/2012 Variance Swaps and Gamma Swaps. The Theory and Reality of Model-Free Replication  
Jacinto Marabel-Romo
- 704/2013 Business Ties in Boards: the influence of institutional directors on Financial Policy  
Emma García-Meca, Felix López Iturriaga and Fernando Tejerina Gaité
- 705/2013 Análisis jerárquico de la evolución del desempeño económico de las comunidades españolas en el período 1955-2009  
Juan Gabriel Brida, Nicolás Garrido & David Matesanz Gómez
- 706/2013 Attribution of changes in divisia real energy intensity indices in several european countries from 1995 to 2010  
Paula Fernández González, Manuel Landajo & M<sup>a</sup>José Presno
- 707/2013 El ámbito de aplicación del principio de beneficio: de la teoría a la revelación de preferencias  
Julio López Laborda y Eduardo Sanz Arcega
- 708/2013 Do shareholder coalitions modify dominant owner's control? the impact on dividend policy  
Félix López-Iturriaga & Domingo J. Santana-Martín
- 709/2013 IPO pricing: a maximum likelihood approach  
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 710/2013 City size and household food consumption. An application of the AIDS model to food demand elasticities in Spain  
Elena Lasarte Navamuel, Fernando Rubiera Morollón & Dusan Paredes Araya
- 711/2013 City size and household food consumption an application of the aids model to food demand elasticities in Spain  
Pilar Abad Romero, Sonia Benito Muela and Carmen López Martín